arXiv:math/0311193v1 [math.DS] 12 Nov 2003

Statistical properties of a skew product with a curve of neutral points [*]

Sébastien Gouëzel [†]

November 2003

Abstract

We study a skew product with a curve of neutral points. We show that there exists a unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measure, and that the Birkhoff averages of a sufficiently smooth observable converge to a normal law or a stable law, depending on the average of the observable along the neutral curve.

1 Introduction

Let $T : M \to M$ be a map on a compact space. While uniformly hyperbolic or uniformly expanding dynamics are well understood, problems arise when there are neutral fixed points (where the differential of T has an eigenvalue equal to 1). The one-dimensional case has been thoroughly studied, particularly when T has only one neutral fixed point (see [LSV99] and references therein). The normal form at the fixed point dictates the asymptotics of the dynamics, and in particular the speed of mixing, and the convergence of Birkhoff sums to limit laws ([Gou02]).

In this article, we study the same type of phenomenon, but in higher dimension. Contrary to [Hu01], [PY01] (where the case of isolated fixed points is considered), our models admit a whole invariant neutral curve. We show that the one-dimensional results remain essentially true.

More precisely, define a map T_α on $[0,1]$ by

$$T_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} x(1+2^\alpha x^\alpha) & \text{if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1/2 \\ 2x-1 & \text{if } 1/2 < x \leqslant 1 \end{cases}$$

---

[*] *keywords*: intermittency, countable Markov shift, central limit theorem, stable laws. *2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 37A50, 37C40, 60F05

[†] Département de Mathématiques et Applications, École Normale Supérieure, 45 rue d'Ulm 75005 Paris (France). e-mail `Sebastien.Gouezel@ens.fr`

It has a neutral fixed point at 0, behaving like $x(1+x^{\alpha})$. To mix different such behaviors, we consider a skew product, similar to the Alves-Viana map ([Via97]) but where the unimodal maps are replaced by T_α. Let $\alpha : S^1 \to (0,1)$ be a map with minimum $\alpha_{\min}$ and maximum $\alpha_{\max}$. Assume that

1. α is C^2.
2. $0 < \alpha_{\min} < \alpha_{\max} < 1$.
3. α takes the value $\alpha_{\min}$ at a unique point x_0, with $\alpha''(x_0) > 0$.
4. $\alpha_{\max} < \frac{3}{2}\alpha_{\min}$ (which implies $\alpha_{\max} < \alpha_{\min} + 1/2$).

These conditions are for example satisfied by $\alpha(\omega) = \alpha_{\min} + \varepsilon(1+\sin(2\pi\omega))$ where $\alpha_{\min} \in (0,1)$ and ε is small enough.

We define a map T on $S^1 \times [0,1]$ by

$$T(\omega, x) = (F(\omega), T_{\alpha(\omega)}(x)) \tag{1}$$

where $F(\omega) = 4\omega$.

In the following, we will generalize to this skew product the one-dimensional results on the maps T_α. First of all, in Section 2, we prove that there exists a unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measure m, whose density h is in fact Lipschitz on every compact subset of $S^1 \times (0,1]$ (Theorem 2.10). In Section 3, we prove limit theorems for abstract Markov maps (using a method essentially due to [MT02] and recalled in Appendix A, and estimates of [AD01] and [Gou02]). Finally, in Sections 4 and 5, we study the limit laws of Birkhoff sums for the skew product T, and we obtain the convergence to a normal law or a stable law, depending on the value of $\alpha_{\min}$. We obtain the following theorem (see Theorem 5.1 for more details).

Theorem. *Set*

$$A = \frac{1}{4\left({\alpha_{min}}^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha''(x_0)}}\right)^{1/\alpha_{min}}} \int_{S^1\times\{1/2\}} h \,\mathrm{dLeb},$$

where h is the density of the absolutely continuous invariant probability measure.

Let f be a Lipschitz function on $S^1 \times [0,1]$, with $\int f \,\mathrm{d}m = 0$. Write $c = \int_{S^1\times\{0\}} f \,\mathrm{dLeb}$ and $S_n f = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^k$. Then

- *If $\alpha_{min} < 1/2$, there exists $\sigma^2 \geqslant 0$ such that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_n f \to \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.*
- *If $\alpha_{min} = 1/2$ and $c \neq 0$, then $\frac{S_n f}{\sqrt{\frac{c^2 A}{4} n (\ln n)^2}} \to \mathcal{N}(0,1)$.*
- *If $1/2 < \alpha_{min} < 1$ and $c \neq 0$, then $\frac{S_n f}{n^{\alpha_{min}} \sqrt{\alpha_{min}} \ln n} \to Z$, where the random variable Z has an explicit stable distribution.*

- *If* $1/2 \leqslant \alpha_{min} < 1$ *and* $c = 0$*, then there exists* $\sigma^2 \geqslant 0$ *such that* $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_n f \to \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

An interesting feature of this example is that its study involves sophisticated mixing properties of F, particularly a multiple decorrelation property, proved in Appendix B using [Pèn02].

Theorems of [Gou02] could be used instead of the method of [MT02] to get the limit laws. However, this elementary method is interesting in its own right, and can be generalized more easily to other settings than the results of [Gou02] (in particular to the case of more neutral fixed points).

Remark. The specific form of F is of no importance at all, the results remain true when F is C^2 with $|F'| \geqslant 4$ (for example $F(\omega) = d\omega$ with $d \geqslant 4$). In the same way, the only important properties of the maps T_α are their normal form close to 0 and the fact that they are Markov. Finally, the hypothesis $\alpha''(x_0) \neq 0$ is only useful for limit theorems, and can be replaced by: $\exists m, \alpha^{(m)}(x_0) \neq 0$ (but the normalizing factors have to be modified accordingly). For the sake of simplicity, we will restrict ourselves in what follows to the aforementioned case.

In this article, $a(n) \sim b(n)$ means that $a(n)/b(n) \to 1$ when $n \to \infty$. The integral with respect to a probability measure will sometimes be denoted by $E(\cdot)$. Finally, $\lfloor x \rfloor$ will denote the integer part of x.

2 Invariant measure

An important property of the map T, that will be used thoroughly in what follows, is that it is Markov: there exists a partition of the space such that every element of this partition is mapped by T on a union of elements of this partition. In fact, we will consider T_Y (the induced map on $Y = S^1 \times (1/2, 1]$), which is also Markov, and expanding, contrary to T. We will apply to T_Y classical results on expanding Markov maps (also called *Gibbs-Markov* maps), which we recall in the next paragraph.

2.1 Markov maps and invariant measures

Let $(Y, \mathcal{B}, m_Y)$ be a standard probability space, endowed with a bounded metric d. A non-singular map T_Y defined on Y is said to be a *Markov map* if there exists a finite or countable partition α of Y such that $\forall a \in \alpha$, $m_Y(a) > 0$, $T_Y(a)$ is a union (mod 0) of sets of α, and $T_Y : a \to T_Y(a)$ is invertible. In this case, α is a *Markov partition* for T_Y.

A Markov map T_Y (with a Markov partition α) is a *Gibbs-Markov map* ([Aar97]) if

1. T_Y has the big image property: $\inf_{a \in \alpha} m_Y(T_Y(a)) > 0$.

2. There exists $\lambda > 1$ such that $\forall a \in \alpha, \forall x, y \in a, d(T_Y x, T_Y y) \geqslant \lambda d(x, y)$.

3. Let g be the inverse of the jacobian of T_Y, i.e. on a set $a \in \alpha$, $g(x) = \frac{\mathrm{d}m_Y}{\mathrm{d}\left(m_Y \circ (T_Y)_{|a}\right)}(x)$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $a \in \alpha$, for almost all $x, y \in a$,

$$\left| 1 - \frac{g(x)}{g(y)} \right| \leqslant C d(T_Y x, T_Y y).$$

This definition is slightly more general than the definition of [Aar97]: the distance $d = d_\tau$ considered there is given by $d_\tau(x,y) = \tau^{s(x,y)}$ where $\tau < 1$ and $s(x,y)$ is the separation time of x and y, i.e.

$$s(x,y) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \nexists a \in \alpha, T^n x \in a, T^n y \in a\}. \tag{2}$$

The proof of [Aar97, Theorem 4.7.4] still works in our context, and gives:

Theorem 2.1. *Let T_Y be a transitive Gibbs-Markov map ($\forall a, b \in \alpha, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, m_Y(T_Y^n a \cap b) > 0$) such that $\mathrm{Card}(\alpha_*) < \infty$, where α_* is the partition generated by the images $T_Y(a)$ for $a \in \alpha$. Then T_Y is ergodic, and there exists a unique absolutely continuous (with respect to m_Y) invariant probability measure, denoted by μ_Y.*

Moreover, $\mu_Y = h m_Y$ where the density h is bounded and bounded away from 0, and Lipschitz on every set of α_*.

2.2 Preliminary estimates

To apply Theorem 2.1, we will construct a Markov partition, and control the distortion of the inverse branches of T_Y.

We will write $T_\omega^n = T_{\alpha(F^{n-1}\omega)} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\alpha(\omega)}$, whence $T^n(\omega, x) = (F^n \omega, T_\omega^n(x))$. Write also $d((\omega_1, x_1), (\omega_2, x_2)) = |\omega_1 - \omega_2| + |x_1 - x_2|$. A point of $S^1 \times [0,1]$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{x} = (\omega, x)$. Finally, set $\mathrm{d}_{\text{vert}}((\omega_1, x_1), (\omega_2, x_2)) = |x_2 - x_1|$.

Define $X_0(\omega) = 1$, $X_1(\omega) = 1/2$, and for $n \geqslant 2$, $X_n(\omega)$ is the preimage in $[0, 1/2]$ of $X_{n-1}(F\omega)$ by $T_{\alpha(\omega)}$. These X_n will be useful in the construction of a Markov partition for T (paragraph 2.3).

Proposition 2.2. *There exists $C > 0$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \forall \omega \in S^1$,*

$$\frac{1}{C n^{1/\alpha_{min}}} \leqslant X_n(\omega) \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{1/\alpha_{max}}}.$$

Proof. Write $Z_1 = 1/2$ and $T(Z_{n+1}) = Z_n$ where $T(x) = x(1 + 2^{\alpha_{\max}} x^{\alpha_{\min}})$. We easily check inductively that $Z_n \leqslant X_n(\omega)$ for every ω, since $T(x) \geqslant T_{\alpha(\omega)}(x)$ for every ω. It is thus sufficient to estimate Z_n to get the minoration. As $T(x) \geqslant x$, the sequence Z_n is decreasing, and nonnegative, whence it tends to a fixed point of T, necessarily 0.

We have

$$\frac{1}{Z_n^{\alpha_{\min}}} = \frac{1}{Z_{n+1}^{\alpha_{\min}}}\left(1+2^{\alpha_{\max}}Z_{n+1}^{\alpha_{\min}}\right)^{-\alpha_{\min}} = \frac{1}{Z_{n+1}^{\alpha_{\min}}}\left(1-\alpha_{\min}2^{\alpha_{\max}}Z_{n+1}^{\alpha_{\min}}+o(Z_{n+1}^{\alpha_{\min}})\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{Z_{n+1}^{\alpha_{\min}}} - \alpha_{\min}2^{\alpha_{\max}} + o(1).$$

A summation gives $\frac{1}{Z_m^{\alpha_{\min}}} \sim m\,\alpha_{\min}\,2^{\alpha_{\max}}$, whence $Z_m \sim C/m^{1/\alpha_{\min}}$, which concludes the minoration.

The majoration is similar, using a sequence Z'_n with $Z'_n \geqslant X_n(\omega)$. □

We fix once and for all a large enough constant D. The following definition is analogous to a definition of Viana ([Via97]).

Definition 2.3. *Let $\psi : K \to [0,1]$, where K is a subinterval of S^1. We say that the graph of ψ is an admissible curve if ψ is C^1 with $|\psi'| \leqslant D$.*

Proposition 2.4. *Let ψ be an admissible curve, defined on K with $|K| < 1/4$, and included in $K \times [0,1/2]$ or $K \times (1/2,1]$. Then the image of ψ by T is still an admissible curve.*

Proof. Let (u,v) be a tangent vector at (ω,x) with $|v| \leqslant D|u|$, we have to check that its image (u',v') by $DT(\omega,x)$ still satisfies $|v'| \leqslant D|u'|$.

Assume first that $x \leqslant 1/2$, whence $u' = 4u$ and $v' = (1+(2x)^{\alpha(\omega)}(\alpha(\omega)+1))v + x\ln(2x)\alpha'(\omega)(2x)^{\alpha(\omega)}u$. As $\alpha(\omega) \leqslant \alpha_{\max} \leqslant 1$, we get $|v'| \leqslant 3|v| + C|u|$ for a constant C (which depends only on $\|\alpha'\|_\infty$). Thus,

$$\frac{|v'|}{|u'|} \leqslant \frac{3}{4}\frac{|v|}{|u|} + \frac{C}{4}.$$

This will give $|v'|/|u'| \leqslant D$ if $\frac{3}{4}D + \frac{C}{4} \leqslant D$, which is true if D is large enough.

Assume then that $x > 1/2$. Then $u' = 4u$ and $v' = 2v$, and there is nothing to prove. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let (ω_1,x_1) and (ω_2,x_2) be two points in $S^1 \times [0,1/2]$ with $|x_1 - x_2| \leqslant D|\omega_1 - \omega_2|$ and $|\omega_1 - \omega_1| \leqslant \frac{1}{8}$. Then their images satisfy $|x'_1 - x'_2| \leqslant D|\omega'_1 - \omega'_2|$.*

Proof. Use a segment between the two points: it is an admissible curve, whence its image is still admissible. □

2.3 The Markov partition

Set $Y = S^1 \times (1/2,1]$. For $\mathbf{x} \in Y$, set $\varphi_Y(\mathbf{x}) = \inf\{n > 0 \mid T^n(\mathbf{x}) \in Y\}$: this is the first return time to Y, everywhere finite. The map $T_Y(\mathbf{x}) := T^{\varphi_Y(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{x})$ is the map induced by

T on Y. We will show that T_Y is a Gibbs-Markov map, by constructing an appropriate Markov partition.

If I is an interval of S^1, we will abusively write $I \times [X_{n+1}, X_n]$ for $\{(\omega, x) \mid \omega \in I, x \in [X_{n+1}(\omega), X_n(\omega)]\}$.

Set $I_n(\omega) = [X_{n+1}(\omega), X_n(\omega)]$ (or $\{\omega\} \times [X_{n+1}(\omega), X_n(\omega)]$, depending on the context). By definition of X_n, T maps $\{\omega\} \times I_n(\omega)$ bijectively on $\{F\omega\} \times I_{n-1}(F\omega)$. Thus, the interval $I_n(\omega)$ returns to $[1/2, 1]$ in exactly n steps.

Let $Y_n(\omega)$ be the preimage in $[1/2, 1]$ of $X_{n-1}(F\omega)$ under $T_{\alpha(\omega)}$. Thus, the interval $J_n(\omega) = [Y_{n+1}(\omega), Y_n(\omega)]$ returns to $[1/2, 1]$ in n steps.

We fix once and for all $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{1}{8}$*, small enough so that* $D\varepsilon_0$ *is less than the length of every interval* $I_1(\omega)$. (This condition will be useful in distortion estimates).

Let q *be large enough so that* $\frac{1}{4^q} < \varepsilon_0$*, and consider* $A_{s,n} = \left[\frac{s}{4^{q+n}}, \frac{s+1}{4^{q+n}}\right] \times J_n$*, for* $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ *and* $0 \leqslant s \leqslant 4^{q+n} - 1$: this set is mapped by T^n on $\left[\frac{s}{4^q}, \frac{s+1}{4^q}\right] \times [1/2, 1]$. Let $K_0, \dots, K_{4^q-1}$ be the sets $\left[\frac{i}{4^q}, \frac{i+1}{4^q}\right] \times [1/2, 1]$. Then the map T_Y is an isomorphism between each $A_{s,n}$ and some K_i. Consequently, the map T_Y is Markov for the partition $\{A_{s,n}\}$, and it has the big image property.

To apply Theorem 2.1, we need expansion (for (2) in the definition of Gibbs-Markov maps) and distortion control (for (3)). The expansion is given by the next proposition, and the distortion is estimated in the following paragraph.

On the intervals $[X_3(\omega), X_1(\omega)]$, the derivative of $T_{\alpha(\omega)}$ is greater than 1, whence greater than a constant $2 > \lambda > 1$, independent of ω.

For (ω_1, x_1) and $(\omega_2, x_2) \in S^1 \times [0, 1]$, set

$$d'((\omega_1, x_1), (\omega_2, x_2)) = a|x_1 - x_2| + |\omega_1 - \omega_2| \tag{3}$$

where $a = \frac{1-\lambda/4}{D}$.

Proposition 2.6. *On each* $A_{s,n}$*, the map* T^n *is expanding by at least* λ *for the distance* d'*.*

Proof. For $n = 1$ (the points return directly to $S^1 \times [1/2, 1]$), everything is linear, and the result is clear. Assume $n \geqslant 2$.

Take (ω_1, x_1) and $(\omega_2, x_2) \in A_{s,n}$, with for example $x_2 \geqslant x_1$. The points (ω_1, x_1) and (ω_2, x_1) return to $S^1 \times [1/2, 1]$ after at least n iterations (by hypothesis for the first point, and the second point is under (ω_2, x_2)). We can use Corollary 2.5 $n - 1$ times, and get that in vertical distance, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{vert}}(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_1)) \leqslant D|F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2|$. In particular, $T^n_{\omega_2}(x_1) \geqslant T^n_{\omega_1}(x_1) - D\varepsilon_0 \geqslant 1/2 - D\varepsilon_0$. Thus, by definition of ε_0, $T^n(\omega_2, x_1) \in I_i(F^n\omega_2)$ for $i = 0$ or 1, whence $T^{n-1}(\omega_2, x_1) \in [X_3(F^{n-1}\omega_2), X_1(F^{n-1}\omega_2)]$. Note that $T^{n-1}(\omega_2, x_2)$ belongs to the same interval (in fact, $T^{n-1}_{\omega_2}(x_2) \in [X_2(F^{n-1}\omega_2), X_1(F^{n-1}\omega_2)]$). Moreover, the T_α are expanding, whence $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{vert}}(T^{n-1}(\omega_2, x_1), T^{n-1}(\omega_2, x_2)) \geqslant |x_1 - x_2|$. We apply once more T, which expands at least by λ on $[X_3(F^{n-1}\omega_2), X_1(F^{n-1}\omega_2)]$ by definition of λ, and get $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{vert}}(T^n(\omega_2, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2)) \geqslant \lambda|x_1 - x_2|$.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} d'(T^n(\omega_1,x_1),T^n(\omega_2,x_2)) &= a\,\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{vert}}(T^n(\omega_1,x_1),T^n(\omega_2,x_2)) + |F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2| \\ &\geqslant a\,\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{vert}}(T^n(\omega_2,x_1),T^n(\omega_2,x_2)) - a\,\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{vert}}(T^n(\omega_1,x_1),T^n(\omega_2,x_1)) \\ &\quad + |F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2| \\ &\geqslant a\lambda|x_1-x_2| - aD|F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2| + |F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2|. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition will be proved if $(1-aD)|F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2| \geqslant \lambda|\omega_1-\omega_2|$. But

$$(1-aD)|F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2| = (1-aD)4^n|\omega_1-\omega_2| \geqslant (1-aD)4|\omega_1-\omega_2| = \lambda|\omega_1-\omega_2|.$$

□

2.4 Distortion bounds

Lemma 2.7. *There exists a constant $E>0$ such that*

$$\begin{gathered} \forall n>0, \forall \omega_1,\omega_2\in S^1 \text{ with } |\omega_1-\omega_2| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4^n}, \forall x_1 \in J_n(\omega_1) \text{ with } T^{n-1}_{\omega_2}x_1 \leqslant 1/2, \\ \left|\ln(T^n_{\omega_1})'(x_1) - \ln(T^n_{\omega_2})'(x_1)\right| \leqslant E|F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2|. \end{gathered} \tag{4}$$

Proof. We use Corollary 2.5 $n-1$ times and get for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ that $|T^k_{\omega_1}x_1 - T^k_{\omega_2}x_1| \leqslant D|F^k\omega_1 - F^k\omega_2|$.

In particular, for $k=n$, $|T^n_{\omega_1}x_1| \geqslant 1/2$, whence $|T^n_{\omega_2}x_1| \geqslant 1/2 - D\varepsilon_0$. Consequently, $T^n(\omega_2,x_1) \in I_i(F^n\omega_2)$ for some $i\in\{0,1\}$, by definition of ε_0. An inverse induction gives $T^k(\omega_2,x_1)\in I_{n-k+i}(F^k\omega_2)$.

For $x\leqslant 1/2$ and $\omega\in S^1$, write $G(\omega,x) = \ln T'_{\alpha(\omega)}(x) = \ln\left(1+(\alpha(\omega)+1)(2x)^{\alpha(\omega)}\right)$. Then

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}(\omega,x) = \frac{(\alpha(\omega)+1)\alpha(\omega)2^{\alpha(\omega)}x^{\alpha(\omega)-1}}{1+(\alpha(\omega)+1)(2x)^{\alpha(\omega)}} \leqslant Cx^{\alpha_{\min}-1}$$

and

$$\left|\frac{\partial G}{\partial \omega}(\omega,x)\right| = \left|\frac{\alpha'(\omega)(2x)^{\alpha(\omega)} + (\alpha(\omega)+1)\alpha'(\omega)\ln(2x)(2x)^{\alpha(\omega)}}{1+(\alpha(\omega)+1)(2x)^{\alpha(\omega)}}\right| \leqslant C.$$

Lemma 2.2, and the fact that $T^k(\omega_1,x_1)\in I_{n-k}(F^k\omega_1)$ and $T^k(\omega_2,x_1)\in I_{n-k+i}(F^k\omega_2)$ with $i\leqslant 1$, give that the second coordinates of $T^k(\omega_1,x_1)$ and $T^k(\omega_2,x_1)$ are $\geqslant \frac{1}{C(n-k+1)^{1/\alpha_{\min}}}$. On the set of points (ω,x) with $x\geqslant \frac{1}{C(n-k+1)^{1/\alpha_{\min}}}$, the estimates on the partial derivatives of G show that this function is $C(n-k+1)^{1/\alpha_{\min}-1}$-Lipschitz, whence

$$\begin{aligned} |G(T^k(\omega_1,x_1)) - G(T^k(\omega_2,x_1))| &\leqslant C(n-k+1)^{1/\alpha_{\min}-1} d((T^k(\omega_1,x_1),T^k(\omega_2,x_1)) \\ &\leqslant C(n-k+1)^{1/\alpha_{\min}-1}(1+D)|F^k\omega_1 - F^k\omega_2| \\ &\leqslant C(n-k+1)^{1/\alpha_{\min}-1}(1+D)4^k|\omega_1-\omega_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\ln(T_{\omega_1}^n)'(x_1)-\ln(T_{\omega_2}^n)'(x_1)\right| &\leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|G(T^k(\omega_1,x_1))-G(T^k(\omega_2,x_1))| \\ &\leqslant C4^n|\omega_1-\omega_2|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k+1)^{1/\alpha_{\min}-1}4^{k-n} \\ &\leqslant C|F^n\omega_1-F^n\omega_2|\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(l+1)^{1/\alpha_{\min}-1}4^{-l}.\end{aligned}$$

The last sum is finite, which concludes the proof. □

For $n \geqslant 2$, write $J_n^+(\omega)=[Y_{n+2}(\omega),Y_n(\omega)]$. Thus, if $n\geqslant 1$, $J_{n+1}^+(\omega)$ is the preimage of $I_n^+(F\omega)$, defined by $I_n^+(F\omega)=[X_{n+2}(F\omega),X_n(F\omega)]$. These intervals will appear naturally in distortion controls, since we have seen in the proof of Lemma 2.7 that, if we move away horizontally from a point of $J_n(\omega_1)$, we find a point of $J_{n+i}(\omega_2)$ for $i\in\{0,1\}$, i.e. in $J_n^+(\omega_2)$.

Lemma 2.8. *There exists a constant C such that*

$$\forall n\geqslant 0, \forall \omega\in S^1, \forall x,y\in J_n^+(\omega),\ |\ln(T_\omega^n)'(x)-\ln(T_\omega^n)'(y)|\leqslant C|T_\omega^n(x)-T_\omega^n(y)|.$$

Proof. Recall that the Schwarzian derivative of an increasing diffeomorphism g of class C^3 is $Sg(x)=\frac{g'''(x)}{g'(x)}-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{g''(x)}{g'(x)}\right)^2$. The composition of two functions with nonpositive Schwarzian derivative still has a nonpositive Schwarzian derivative.

For $\tau>0$, the Koebe principle ([dMvS93, Theorem IV.1.2]) states that, if $Sg\leqslant 0$, and $J\subset J'$ are two intervals such that $g(J')$ contains a τ-scaled neighborhood of $g(J)$ (i.e. the intervals on the left and on the right of $g(J)$ in $g(J')$ have length at least $\tau|g(J)|$), then there exists a constant $K(\tau)$ such that

$$\forall x,y\in J, |\ln g'(x)-\ln g'(y)|\leqslant K(\tau)\frac{|x-y|}{|J|}.$$

This implies that the distortion of g is bounded on J, whence it is possible to replace the bound on the right with $K'(\tau)\frac{|g(x)-g(y)|}{|g(J)|}$.

In our case, if $0<\alpha<1$, the left branch of T_α has nonpositive Schwarzian derivative, since $T_\alpha'''<0$ and $T_\alpha'>0$. Let in particular g be the composition of the left branches of $T_{\alpha(F^{n-1}\omega)},\dots,T_{\alpha(F\omega)}$, and of the right branch of $T_{\alpha(\omega)}$. Then, on J_n^+, we have $T_\omega^n=g$, and g has nonpositive Schwarzian derivative.

We want to see that $|\ln(T_\omega^n)'(x)-\ln(T_\omega^n)'(y)|\leqslant C|T_\omega^n(x)-T_\omega^n(y)|$. For this, we apply the Koebe principle to $J=J_n^+$ and $J'=[1/2+\delta,2]$ for δ very small. Then $g(J)=[X_2,1]$ while $g(J')$ contains $[\delta',2]$ for $\delta'>0$, arbitrarily small if δ is small enough. As the X_2 are uniformly bounded away from 0, there exists $\tau>0$ (independent of ω and n) such that $g(J')$ contains a τ-scaled neighborhood of $g(J)$. The Koebe principle then gives the desired result. □

Proposition 2.9. *There exists a constant* C *such that, for every* $A_{s,n}$*, for every* (ω_1, x_1) *and* $(\omega_2, x_2) \in A_{s,n}$*,*

$$\left| \frac{\det DT^n(\omega_1, x_1)}{\det DT^n(\omega_2, x_2)} - 1 \right| \leqslant Cd(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2)).$$

Proof. The matrix $DT^n(\omega, x)$ is upper triangular, with 4^n in the upper left corner. Thus, we have to show that

$$\left| \ln(T^n_{\omega_1})'(x_1) - \ln(T^n_{\omega_2})'(x_2) \right| \leqslant Cd(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2)).$$

Assume for example $x_2 \geqslant x_1$, which implies that $T^k_{\omega_2}(x_1) \leqslant 1/2$ for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$. Lemma 2.7 can be applied to x_1, ω_1 and ω_2, and gives in particular that $x_1 \in J^+_n(\omega_2)$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \ln(T^n_{\omega_2})'(x_2) - \ln(T^n_{\omega_1})'(x_1) \right| &\leqslant \left| \ln(T^n_{\omega_2})'(x_2) - \ln(T^n_{\omega_2})'(x_1) \right| + \left| \ln(T^n_{\omega_2})'(x_1) - \ln(T^n_{\omega_1})'(x_1) \right| \\ &\leqslant Cd(T^n(\omega_2, x_2)), T^n(\omega_2, x_1)) + E|F^n\omega_2 - F^n\omega_1| \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8. For the first term,

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n(\omega_2, x_2), T^n(\omega_2, x_1)) &\leqslant d(T^n(\omega_2, x_2), T^n(\omega_1, x_1)) + d(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_1)) \\ &\leqslant d(T^n(\omega_2, x_2), T^n(\omega_1, x_1)) + (D+1)|F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2| \end{aligned}$$

using admissible curves.

As $|F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2| \leqslant d(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2))$, we get the conclusion. □

2.5 Construction of the invariant measure

The previous estimates and Theorem 2.1 easily give that T_Y admits an invariant measure, with Lipschitz density. Inducing gives an invariant measure for T, whose density is Lipschitz on each set $S^1 \times (X_{n+1}, X_n)$. However, this does not exclude discontinuities on $S^1 \times X_n$, which is not surprising since T itself has a discontinuity on $S^1 \times \{1/2\}$, which will then propagate to the other X_n, since the measure is invariant.

However, in the one-dimensional case, Liverani, Saussol and Vaienti ([LSV99]) have proved that the density is really continuous everywhere, since they constructed it as an element of a cone of continuous functions. This fact remains true here:

Theorem 2.10. *The map* T *admits a unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measure* $\mathrm{d}m$*. Moreover, this measure is ergodic. Finally, the density* $h = \frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{dLeb}}$ *is Lipschitz on every compact subset of* $S^1 \times (0, 1]$*.*

Proof. Consider the map T_Y induced by T on $Y = S^1 \times (1/2, 1]$. It is Markov for the partition $\alpha = \{A_{s,n}\}$, and transitive for this partition since $T^2_Y(a) = Y$ for all $a \in \alpha$.

Moreover, it is expanding for d' on each set of the partition (Proposition 2.6) and its distortion is Lipschitz (Proposition 2.9, and d equivalent to d').

Theorem 2.1 shows that T_Y admits a unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measure $\mathrm{d}m_Y = h\,\mathrm{dLeb}$, which is ergodic. Moreover, the density h is Lipschitz (for the distance d', whence for the usual one) on each element of the partition α_* generated by the sets $T_Y(a)$, i.e. on the sets K_i.

To construct an invariant measure for the initial map T, we use the classical induction process ([Aar97, Section 1.1.5]): let φ_Y be the return time to Y under T, then $\mu = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_*^n(m_Y|\varphi_Y > n)$ is invariant. To check that the new measure has finite mass, we have to see that $\sum m_Y(\varphi_Y > n) < \infty$. As $\mathrm{d}m_Y$ and dLeb are equivalent, we check it for dLeb. We have

$$\mathrm{Leb}(\varphi_Y > n) = \mathrm{Leb}(S^1 \times [1/2, Y_{n+1}]) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Leb}(S^1 \times [0, X_n]) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\frac{C}{n^{1/\alpha_{\max}}},$$

using Lemma 2.2. As $\alpha_{\max} < 1$, this is summable.

We know that h is Lipschitz on the sets $[\frac{s}{4^q}, \frac{s+1}{4^q}] \times [1/2, 1]$, we have to prove the continuity on $\{s/4^q\} \times [1/2, 1]$, which is not hard: these numbers $s/4^q$ are artificial, since they depend on the arbitrary choice of a Markov partition on S^1. We can do the same construction using other sets than the $A_{s,n}$. For example, set $A'_{s,n} = \left[\frac{1}{3} + \frac{s}{4^{q+n}}, \frac{1}{3} + \frac{s+1}{4^{q+n}}\right] \times J_n$, and $K'_i = \left[\frac{1}{3} + \frac{i}{4^q}, \frac{1}{3} + \frac{i+1}{4^q}\right]$. Since $1/3$ is a fixed point of F, the map T_Y is Markov for the partition $\{A'_{s,n}\}$, and each of these sets is mapped on a set K'_i. Thus, the same arguments as above apply, and prove that h is Lipschitz on each set K'_i. Since the boundaries of the sets K_i and K'_i are different, this shows that h is in fact Lipschitz on $S^1 \times [1/2, 1]$.

We show now that h is Lipschitz on $S^1 \times [X_2, 1]$. Note that it is slightly incorrect to say that h is Lipschitz, since h is defined only almost everywhere. Nevertheless, if we prove that $|h(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{y})| \leqslant Cd(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ for almost all $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, then there will exist a unique version of h which is really Lipschitz. Thus, all the equalities we will write until the end of this proof will be true only almost everywhere.

Let $A^+_{s,n} = \left[\frac{s}{4^{q+n}}, \frac{s+1}{4^{q+n}}\right] \times J^+_n$: T^n is a diffeomorphism between $A^+_{s,n}$ and $K^+_i = \left[\frac{i}{4^q}, \frac{i+1}{4^q}\right] \times [X_2, 1]$. We fix some $K^+ = K^+_i = I \times [X_2, 1]$, and we show that h is Lipschitz on K^+. Let $U_1, U_2, \dots$ be the inverse branches of $T^{n_1}, T^{n_2}, \dots$ whose images all coincide with K^+. Let T_Y be the map induced by T on $Y = S^1 \times [1/2, 1]$. Then $h\,\mathrm{dLeb}_{|Y}$ is invariant under T_Y, which means that, for each $\mathbf{x} \in I \times [1/2, 1]$,

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum JU_j(\mathbf{x})h(U_j\mathbf{x})$$

where JU_j is the jacobian of U_j.

Let $Z = S^1 \times [X_2, 1]$, and T_Z be the map induced by T on Z. Since $h\,\mathrm{dLeb}_{|Z}$ is also invariant under T_Z, we have the same kind of equation as above. For $\mathbf{x} \in I \times [X_2, 1/2]$, all its preimages under T_Z are in $S^1 \times [1/2, 1]$, and the invariance gives that

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum JU_j(\mathbf{x})h(U_j\mathbf{x}).$$

We have shown that, for every $\mathbf{x} \in S^1 \times [X_2, 1]$,

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum JU_j(\mathbf{x}) h(U_j \mathbf{x}).$$

This means that h is invariant under some kind of transfer operator, even though it is not a real transfer operator since the images of the maps U_j are not disjoint, and since they do not cover the space. In particular, the images of the U_j are included in $S^1 \times [1/2, 1]$, and we already know that h is Lipschitz on this set.

The bounds of the previous paragraphs still apply to the distortion of the U_j, and their expansion. In particular, $\left|1 - \frac{JU_j(\mathbf{y})}{JU_j(\mathbf{x})}\right| \leqslant Cd(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ for a constant C independent of j, and $|h(U_j\mathbf{x}) - h(U_j\mathbf{y})| \leqslant Cd(U_j\mathbf{x}, U_j\mathbf{y}) \leqslant Dd(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ (since h is Lipschitz on the image of U_j). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|h(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{y})| &\leqslant \sum |JU_j(\mathbf{x}) h(U_j\mathbf{x}) - JU_j(\mathbf{y}) h(U_j\mathbf{y})| \\
&\leqslant \sum |JU_j(\mathbf{x})| \left|1 - \frac{JU_j(\mathbf{y})}{JU_j(\mathbf{x})}\right| |h(U_j\mathbf{x})| + \sum |JU_j(\mathbf{y})| |h(U_j\mathbf{x}) - h(U_j\mathbf{y})| \\
&\leqslant Cd(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \sum |JU_j(\mathbf{x})| + Dd(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \sum |JU_j(\mathbf{y})|.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that $\sum |JU_j(\mathbf{x})|$ is bounded. The bound on distortion gives $JU_j(\mathbf{x}) \asymp \mathrm{Leb}(\mathrm{Im}\, U_j)$, whence $\sum JU_j(\mathbf{x}) \leqslant C \sum \mathrm{Leb}(\mathrm{Im}\, U_j)$, which is finite since every point of $I \times [1/2, 1]$ is in the image of at most two maps U_j.

We have proved that h is Lipschitz on $S^1 \times [X_2, 1]$, except maybe on $\{\frac{s}{4^q}\} \times [X_2, 1]$. As above, using another Markov partition, we exclude the possibility of discontinuities there. Thus, h is Lipschitz on $S^1 \times [X_2, 1]$.

To prove that h is Lipschitz on $S^1 \times [X_k, 1]$, we do exactly the same thing, except that we consider $[Y_{n+k}, Y_n]$ instead of $J_n^+ = [Y_{n+2}, Y_n]$. As above, writing $U_1, U_2, \dots$ for the inverse branches of T^n defined on a set $[\frac{s}{4^{n+q}}, \frac{s+1}{4^{n+q}}] \times [Y_{n+k}, Y_n]$ and whose image is $K' = [\frac{i}{4^q}, \frac{i+1}{4^q}] \times [X_k, 1] = I \times [X_k, 1]$, we show that $h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum JU_j(\mathbf{x}) h(U_j \mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in K'$. In fact, for $\mathbf{x} \in I \times [X_l, X_{l-1}]$, we use the invariance of $h\, \mathrm{dLeb}$ under the map induced by T on $S^1 \times [X_l, 1]$. We conclude finally as above, using the fact that h is Lipschitz on $S^1 \times [1/2, 1]$, which contains the images of the U_j.

This concludes the proof, since every compact subset of $S^1 \times (0, 1]$ is contained in $S^1 \times [X_k, 1]$ for large enough k. □

3 Limit theorems for Markov maps

We want to establish limit theorems for Birkhoff sums, of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x)$. We give in this section an abstract result, valid for a map that induces a Gibbs-Markov map on a subset of the space (which is the case of our skew product). Related limit theorems have been proved in [Gou02], but we will show here a slightly different result, which requires more control on the return time φ but is more elementary, using Theorem A.1 proved in Appendix A and inspired by results of Melbourne and Török ([MT02]) for flows. An advantage of this new method is that, contrary to [Gou02], it can easily be extended to stable laws of index 1.

If $Z_0, \dots, Z_{n-1}, \dots$ are independent identically distributed random variables with zero mean, the sums $\frac{1}{B_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k$ (where B_n is a real sequence) converge to a nontrivial limit in essentially three cases: if $Z_k \in L^2$, there is convergence to a normal law for $B_n = \sqrt{n}$. There is also convergence to a normal law, but with a different normalization, if $P(|Z_k| > x) = x^{-2} l(x)$ with $L(x) := 2 \int_1^x \frac{l(u)}{u} \, \mathrm{d}u$ unbounded and slowly varying (i.e. $L : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ satisfies $\forall a > 0, \lim_{x \to \infty} L(ax)/L(x) = 1$) – this is in particular true when l itself is slowly varying. Finally, if $P(Z_k > x) = (c_1 + o(1)) x^{-p} L(x)$ and $P(Z_k < -x) = (c_2 + o(1)) x^{-p} L(x)$, where L is slowly varying and $p \in (0, 2)$, we have convergence (for a good choice of B_n) to a limit law called stable law. Moreover, these are the only cases where there is a convergence ([Fel66]).

In the dynamical setting, we will prove the same kind of limit theorems, still with three possible cases: L^2, normal nonstandard, and stable. The normalizations will moreover be the same as in the probabilistic setting.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T : X \to X$ be an ergodic transformation preserving a probability measure m. Assume that there exists a subset Y of X with $m(Y) > 0$ such that the first return map $T_Y(x) = T^{\varphi(x)}(x)$ (where $\varphi(x) = \inf\{n > 0 \mid T^n(x) \in Y\}$) is Gibbs-Markov for $m_{|Y}$, a partition α of Y such that φ is constant on each element of α, and a distance d on Y.*

Let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable map with $\int f = 0$, such that $f_Y(y) := \sum_{n=0}^{\varphi(y)-1} f(T^n y)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{a \in \alpha} m(a) D f_Y(a) < \infty \tag{5}$$

where

$$D f_Y(a) = \inf\{C > 0 \mid \forall x, y \in a, |f_Y(x) - f_Y(y)| \leqslant C d(x, y)\}.$$

Set $M(y) = \max_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant \varphi(y)} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f \circ T^j(y) \right|$.

Then:

- *Assume that $f_Y \in L^2$ and $M \in L^2$. Assume moreover that φ satisfies one of the following hypotheses:*
  - *$\varphi \in L^2$.*
  - *$m(\varphi > x) = x^{-p} L(x)$ where L is slowly varying and $p \in (1, 2]$.*

  Then there exists $\sigma^2 \geqslant 0$ such that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_n f \to \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

- *Assume that* $m(|f_Y| > x) = x^{-2}l(x)$, *with* $L(x) := 2\int_1^x \frac{l(u)}{u}\,\mathrm{d}u$ *unbounded and slowly varying. Assume moreover that* $m(M > x) \leqslant Cx^{-2}l(x)$, *and* $m(\varphi > x) = (c + o(1))x^{-2}l(x)$. *Let* $B_n \to \infty$ *satisfy* $nL(B_n) = B_n^2$. *Then* $\frac{1}{B_n}S_n f \to \mathcal{N}(0,1)$.
- *Assume that* $m(f_Y > x) = (c_1+o(1))x^{-p}L(x)$ *and* $m(f_Y < -x) = (c_2+o(1))x^{-p}L(x)$ *where* L *is a slowly varying function,* $p \in (1,2)$, *and* $c_1, c_2 \geqslant 0$ *with* $c_1 + c_2 > 0$. *Assume also that* $m(M > x) \leqslant Cx^{-p}L(x)$, *and* $m(\varphi > x) = (c_3+o(1))x^{-p}L(x)$. *Let* $B_n \to \infty$ *satisfy* $nL(B_n) = B_n^p$. *Then* $\frac{1}{B_n}S_n f \to Z$ *where the random variable* Z *has a characteristic function given by*

  $$E(e^{itZ}) = e^{-c|t|^p\left(1-i\beta\,\mathrm{sgn}(t)\tan\left(\frac{p\pi}{2}\right)\right)}$$

  with $c = (c_1 + c_2)\Gamma(1-p)\cos\left(\frac{p\pi}{2}\right)$ *and* $\beta = \frac{c_1-c_2}{c_1+c_2}$.

Note that $M(y) \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(y)-1} |f(T^j y)| = |f|_Y(y)$. Thus, if the integrability hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied by $|f|_Y$ (which will often be the case), they are automatically satisfied by M.

In the second case of the theorem, when l itself is slowly varying, then L is automatically slowly varying.

The second case of the theorem is not the most general possible result, since one may have convergence to a normal law even when the function l is not slowly varying (what really matters is that L is slowly varying). The theorem can be extended without problem to this more general setting, but the result becomes more complicated to state. In the applications, the statement given in Theorem 3.1 will be sufficient.

Proof. The idea is to use Theorem A.1: we have to check all its hypotheses. We will use the notations of this theorem, and in particular write $E_Y(u) = \frac{\int_Y u\,\mathrm{d}m}{m(Y)}$.

We first treat the third case (stable law), using the results of [AD01] (and the generalizations of [Gou02]). Let $s(x,y)$ be the separation time of x and y defined in (2), $\tau = 1/\lambda$ and $d_\tau = \tau^s$ the corresponding metric. Since every iteration of T_Y expands by at least λ, we get $d(x,y) \leqslant Cd_\tau(x,y)$. In particular, we can assume without loss of generality that $d = d_\tau$, which is the setting of [AD01] and [Gou02].

Let P be the transfer operator associated to T_Y (i.e. defined by $\int u \cdot v \circ T_Y = \int P(u) \cdot v$), and $P_t(u) = P(e^{itf_Y}u)$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the space of bounded Lipschitz functions (i.e. such that there exists C such that, $\forall a \in \alpha, \forall x, y \in a, |g(x) - g(y)| \leqslant Cd(x,y)$). Theorem 5.1 of [AD01] ensures that, for small enough t, P_t acting on $\mathcal{L}$ has an eigenvalue $\lambda(t) = e^{-\frac{c}{m(Y)}|t|^p\left(1-i\beta\,\mathrm{sgn}(t)\tan\left(\frac{p\pi}{2}\right)\right)L(|t|^{-1})(1+o(1))}$, the remaining part of its spectrum being contained in a disk of radius $\leqslant 1-\delta < 1$. In fact, this theorem requires that $Df_Y(a)$ is bounded, but [Gou02, Theorem 3.8] shows that it remains true under the weaker assumption $\sum m(a)Df_Y(a) < \infty$.

The slow variation of L easily implies that $\lambda\left(\frac{t}{B_n}\right)^{\lfloor nm(Y)\rfloor} \to e^{-c|t|^p\left(1-i\beta\,\mathrm{sgn}(t)\tan\left(\frac{p\pi}{2}\right)\right)}$, whence, for $g \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$E_Y\left(g e^{i\frac{t}{B_n} S^Y_{\lfloor nm(Y)\rfloor} f_Y}\right) \to E_Y(g) E(e^{itZ}) \tag{6}$$

where the random variable Z is as in the statement of the theorem (see [AD01] or [Gou02] for more details). We can not apply this result to $g = \varphi$, since φ is not bounded. However, φ is Lipschitz and integrable, whence $P\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$ ([AD01, Proposition 1.4]). Equation (6) applied to $P\varphi$ gives $E_Y\left(\varphi e^{i\frac{t}{B_n} S^Y_{\lfloor nm(Y)\rfloor} f_Y \circ T_Y}\right) \to E(e^{itZ})$, since $E_Y(P\varphi) = E_Y(\varphi) = 1$ by Kac's Formula. Let $k(n)$ be a sequence such that $\lfloor k(n)m(Y)\rfloor = \lfloor nm(Y)\rfloor - 1$. Since $k(n) \sim n$, the same arguments give in fact that $E_Y\left(\varphi e^{i\frac{t}{B_n} S^Y_{\lfloor k(n)m(Y)\rfloor} f_Y \circ T_Y}\right) \to E(e^{itZ})$, i.e. $E_Y\left(\varphi e^{i\frac{t}{B_n}(S^Y_{\lfloor nm(Y)\rfloor} f_Y - f_Y)}\right) \to E(e^{itZ})$. The difference between this term and $E_Y\left(\varphi e^{i\frac{t}{B_n}(S^Y_{\lfloor nm(Y)\rfloor} f_Y}\right)$ is bounded by $E_Y\left(\varphi \left| e^{-i\frac{t}{B_n} f_Y} - 1\right|\right)$, which tends to 0 by dominated convergence. Thus,

$$E_Y\left(\varphi e^{i\frac{t}{B_n} S^Y_{\lfloor nm(Y)\rfloor} f_Y}\right) \to E(e^{itZ}).$$

This is (22). Finally, since L is slowly varying, the equation $nL(B_n) = B_n^p$ implies that $\sup_{r \leqslant 2n} \frac{B_r}{B_n} < \infty$, $\inf_{r \geqslant n} \frac{B_r}{B_n} > 0$ (using for example [Fel66, Corollary page 274]).

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, we bound $m(M \geqslant \varepsilon B_n)$.

$$m(M \geqslant \varepsilon B_n) \leqslant C(\varepsilon B_n)^{-p} L(\varepsilon B_n) = C\varepsilon^{-p} B_n^{-p} L(B_n) \frac{L(\varepsilon B_n)}{L(B_n)}.$$

But $B_n^{-p} L(B_n) = \frac{1}{n}$ by definition of B_n, and $\frac{L(\varepsilon B_n)}{L(B_n)}$ tends to 1 since L is slowly varying. Thus, $m(M \geqslant \varepsilon B_n) \leqslant \frac{D}{n}$, which proves (23).

Hypothesis 3 of Theorem A.1 is satisfied for $b = 1$, according to the Birkhoff Theorem applied to $\varphi - E_Y(\varphi)$ (and because T_Y is ergodic, which is a consequence of the ergodicity of T). Finally, the hypothesis on the distribution of φ ensures, once again by [AD01], that $\frac{S^Y_{\lfloor nm(Y)\rfloor}\varphi - nm(Y)E_Y(\varphi)}{B_n}$ converges in distribution. Thus, (24') is satisfied. We can use Theorem A.1, and get that $\frac{S_n f}{B_n} \to Z$.

The proof of the second case of Theorem 3.1 is exactly the same, using [AD98] instead of [AD01] to show the convergence in distribution of $\frac{S^Y_{\lfloor nm(Y)\rfloor} f_Y}{B_n}$ and $\frac{S^Y_{\lfloor nm(Y)\rfloor}\varphi - nm(Y)E_Y(\varphi)}{B_n}$.

In the first case ($f_Y \in L^2$), the proof is again identical when $\varphi \in L^2$, with $B_n = \sqrt{n}$, using [GH88] (or the remarks of [AD98]). However, when $m(\varphi > x) = x^{-p} L(x)$, we check in a different way the hypotheses 3 and 4 of Theorem A.1. [AD01] ensures that, if B'_n is given by

$$nL(B'_n) = (B'_n)^p, \tag{7}$$

then $\frac{S^Y_n \varphi - nE_Y(\varphi)}{B'_n}$ converges in distribution. Moreover, [Gou02, Lemma 3.4] proves that $Pf_Y \in \mathcal{L}$, and has a vanishing integral. As P has a spectral gap on $\mathcal{L}$, $P^n f_Y \to 0$ exponentially fast. In particular, $\int f_Y \circ T_Y^n \cdot f_Y = \int (P^n f) \cdot f = O((1-\delta)^n)$ for some

$0 < \delta < 1$. Thus, as $f_Y \in L^2$, [Kac96, Theorem 16] gives that, for every $b > 1/2$, $\frac{1}{N^b}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f_Y(T_Y^k) \to 0$ almost everywhere when $N \to \infty$. In the natural extension, $\int f_Y \circ T_Y^{-n} \cdot f_Y = \int f_Y \cdot f_Y \circ T_Y^n$ decays also exponentially fast, whence the same argument gives that $\frac{1}{|N|^b}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f_Y(T_Y^k) \to 0$ when $N \to -\infty$. Thus, Hypothesis 3 of Theorem A.1 is satisfied for any $b > 1/2$. Let $\kappa > 0$ be very small. As L is slowly varying, $L(B'_n) = O((B'_n)^\kappa)$, whence Equation (7) gives $B'_n = O(n^{1/(p-\kappa)})$. Thus, if $b < \frac{p}{2}$, we have $B'_n = O(B_n^{1/b})$, which implies (24'). □

4 Asymptotic behavior of X_n

We return to the study of the skew product (1). To prove limit theorems using Theorem 3.1, we will need to estimate $m(\varphi_Y > n)$, which is directly related to the speed of convergence of X_n to 0. This section will be devoted to the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *We have*

$$\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\ln n}}\right)^{1/\alpha_{min}} X_n \to \frac{1}{\left(2^{\alpha_{min}}\,{\alpha_{min}}^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha''(x_0)}}\right)^{1/\alpha_{min}}}$$

almost everywhere and in L^1.

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

$$E(e^{-(\alpha-\alpha_{min})w}) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha''(x_0)}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{w}} \text{ when } w \to \infty. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Write $\beta = \alpha - \alpha_{\min}$, and $f(b) = \mathrm{Leb}\{\omega \mid \beta(\omega) \in [0,b)\}$. In a neighborhood of x_0 (the unique point where α takes its minimal value $\alpha_{\min}$), α behaves like the parabola $\alpha_{\min} + \frac{\alpha''(x_0)}{2}(x-x_0)^2$, whence $f(b) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha''(x_0)}}\sqrt{b}$ when $b \to 0$.

Writing P_β for the distribution of β, an integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(e^{-(\alpha-\alpha_{\min})w}\right) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-bw}\,\mathrm{d}P_\beta(b) = w\int_0^\infty e^{-bw} f(b)\,\mathrm{d}b = \int_0^\infty e^{-u} f(u/w)\,\mathrm{d}u \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}}\int_0^\infty e^{-u}\left(\sqrt{w} f(u/w)\right)\,\mathrm{d}u. \end{aligned}$$

But $e^{-u}\left(\sqrt{w} f(u/w)\right) \to e^{-u}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha''(x_0)}}\sqrt{u}$ when $w \to \infty$. There exists a constant E such that $f(u) \leqslant E\sqrt{u}$ (this is clear in a neighborhood of 0, and elsewhere since f is bounded), whence $e^{-u}\left(\sqrt{w} f(u/w)\right) \leqslant E e^{-u}\sqrt{u}$ integrable. By dominated convergence,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-u}\left(\sqrt{w} f(u/w)\right)\,\mathrm{d}u \to \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha''(x_0)}}\int_0^\infty e^{-u}\sqrt{u}\,\mathrm{d}u = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha''(x_0)}}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1. As in Proposition 2.2, we write

$$\frac{1}{X_n(F\omega)^{\alpha_{\min}}} = \frac{1}{X_{n+1}(\omega)^{\alpha_{\min}}} - \alpha_{\min}\, 2^{\alpha_{\min}}(2X_{n+1}(\omega))^{\alpha(\omega)-\alpha_{\min}} + O(X_{n+1}(\omega)^{2\alpha(\omega)-\alpha_{\min}}).$$

Proposition 2.2 gives

$$X_{n+1}(\omega)^{2\alpha(\omega)-\alpha_{\min}} \leqslant X_{n+1}(\omega)^{\alpha_{\min}} \leqslant \frac{C}{(n+1)^{\alpha_{\min}/\alpha_{\max}}} \leqslant \frac{C}{\sqrt{n+1}}$$

as $\alpha_{\min}/\alpha_{\max} \geqslant 1/2$ by hypothesis. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{X_{n+1}(\omega)^{\alpha_{\min}}} - \frac{1}{X_n(F\omega)^{\alpha_{\min}}} = 2^{\alpha_{\min}}\, \alpha_{\min}(2X_{n+1}(\omega))^{\alpha-\alpha_{\min}} + O(1/\sqrt{n}).$$

Summing from 1 to n, we get a constant P (independent of ω) such that

$$\frac{1}{X_n(\omega)^{\alpha_{\min}}} \geqslant 2^{\alpha_{\min}}\, \alpha_{\min}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}(2X_k(F^{n-k}\omega))^{\alpha(F^{n-k}\omega)-\alpha_{\min}} - P\sqrt{n}\right] \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{1}{X_n(\omega)^{\alpha_{\min}}} \leqslant 2^{\alpha_{\min}}\, \alpha_{\min}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}(2X_k(F^{n-k}\omega))^{\alpha(F^{n-k}\omega)-\alpha_{\min}} + P\sqrt{n}\right] \tag{10}$$

Equation (9) and Proposition 2.2 imply that

$$\frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\frac{1}{2^{\alpha_{\min}}\, \alpha_{\min} X_n(\omega)^{\alpha_{\min}}} \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{2C^{-1}}{k^{1/\alpha_{\min}}}\right)^{\alpha(F^{n-k}\omega)-\alpha_{\min}} - P\sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}} =: A_n(\omega). \tag{11}$$

We first study the convergence of A_n. The functions α and $\alpha \circ F^{n-k}$ have the same distribution since F preserve Lebesgue measure. Thus, by Lemma 4.2,

$$E\left(\left(\frac{2C^{-1}}{k^{1/\alpha_{\min}}}\right)^{\alpha\circ F^{n-k}-\alpha_{\min}}\right) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha''(x_0)}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln(k^{1/\alpha_{\min}}) - \ln(2C^{-1})}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi\, \alpha_{\min}}{2\alpha''(x_0)}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln k}}.$$

Summing, we get that

$$E(A_n) \to C_1 := \sqrt{\frac{\pi\, \alpha_{\min}}{2\alpha''(x_0)}}, \tag{12}$$

since $\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln k}} \sim \frac{n}{\sqrt{\ln n}}$.

We will need L^p estimates, for $p \geqslant 1$. To get them, we use a result of Françoise Pène, recalled in Appendix B. Let us denote by $\|g\|$ the Lipschitz norm of a function $g : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$. We define $f_k(\omega) = \left(\frac{2C^{-1}}{k^{1/\alpha_{\min}}}\right)^{\alpha(\omega)-\alpha_{\min}}$, and $g_k = f_k - E(f_k)$. Thus, $A_n = \frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k \circ F^{n-k} - P\sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}}$. As $g_k' = \ln\left(\frac{2C^{-1}}{k^{1/\alpha_{\min}}}\right)\alpha' f_k$, there exists a constant L such that, for $k \leqslant n$, $\|g_k\| \leqslant L \ln n$. As a consequence, Theorem B.1 applied to $g_k/(L\ln n)$ gives

$$\|A_n - E(A_n)\|_p = \frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n} L \ln n \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} g_k \circ F^{n-k}/(L\ln n)\right\|_p \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n} L \ln n K_p \sqrt{n},$$

i.e.

$$\|A_n - E(A_n)\|_p \leqslant L_p \sqrt{\frac{\ln^3 n}{n}}. \tag{13}$$

This implies in particular that A_n converges almost everywhere to C_1. Namely, if $\delta > 0$,

$$\text{Leb}\{|A_n - E(A_n)| > \delta\} \leqslant \int \frac{|A_n - E(A_n)|^4}{\delta^4} \leqslant \frac{L_4}{\delta^4}\left(\frac{\ln^3 n}{n}\right)^{4/2}$$

which is summable, and $E(A_n) \to C_1$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(\omega) &\geqslant \frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{2C^{-1}}{k^{1/\,\alpha_{\min}}}\right)^{\alpha_{\max}-\alpha_{\min}} - P\sqrt{n}\right] \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\left[Kn^{2-\alpha_{\max}/\,\alpha_{\min}} - P\sqrt{n}\right] \\ &\geqslant K'\frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n} n^{2-\alpha_{\max}/\,\alpha_{\min}} \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha_{\max}/\,\alpha_{\min} < 3/2$. Thus,

$$\left\|\frac{1}{A_n}\right\|_\infty \leqslant K''\frac{n^{\alpha_{\max}/\,\alpha_{\min}-1}}{\sqrt{\ln n}}. \tag{14}$$

Note that $E(A_n)$ tends to $C_1 \neq 0$, whence $\frac{1}{E(A_n)}$ is bounded. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\frac{1}{A_n} - \frac{1}{E(A_n)}\right\|_p &\leqslant \left\|\frac{1}{A_n}\right\|_\infty \frac{1}{E(A_n)}\|A_n - E(A_n)\|_p \leqslant K'''\frac{n^{\alpha_{\max}/\,\alpha_{\min}-1}}{\sqrt{\ln n}} L_p\sqrt{\frac{\ln^3 n}{n}} \\ &= M_p\frac{\ln n}{n^\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\alpha_{\max}}{\alpha_{\min}} > 0$. In particular, $\frac{1}{A_n}$ tends to $\frac{1}{C_1}$ in every L^p. Equation (11) shows that

$$\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\ln n}}\right)^{1/\,\alpha_{\min}} X_n \leqslant \frac{1}{(2^{\alpha_{\min}}\,\alpha_{\min}\,A_n)^{1/\,\alpha_{\min}}}. \tag{15}$$

The right hand side tends to

$$C_2 := \frac{1}{\left(2^{\alpha_{\min}}\,{\alpha_{\min}}^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha''(x_0)}}\right)^{1/\,\alpha_{\min}}} \tag{16}$$

in every L^p, and in particular in L^1. Thus,

$$\overline{\lim}\, E\left(\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\ln n}}\right)^{1/\,\alpha_{\min}} X_n\right) \leqslant C_2. \tag{17}$$

Moreover, A_n converges almost everywhere to C_1, whence (15) yields that, almost everywhere,

$$\overline{\lim} \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\ln n}} \right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}} X_n(\omega) \leqslant C_2. \tag{18}$$

Set $Q = \sup_n \left(\frac{1}{E(A_n)} \right) + 1$, we estimate $\operatorname{Leb}\left\{ \frac{1}{A_n} \geqslant Q \right\}$. If $p \geqslant 1$,

$$\operatorname{Leb}\left\{ \frac{1}{A_n} \geqslant Q \right\} \leqslant \operatorname{Leb}\left\{ \left| \frac{1}{A_n} - \frac{1}{E(A_n)} \right| \geqslant 1 \right\} \leqslant E\left(\left| \frac{1}{A_n} - \frac{1}{E(A_n)} \right|^p \right) \leqslant \left(M_p \frac{\ln n}{n^\kappa} \right)^p.$$

In particular, choosing p large enough gives

$$\operatorname{Leb}\left\{ \frac{1}{A_n} \geqslant Q \right\} \leqslant \frac{M}{n^5}.$$

Setting $Q' = \frac{Q}{2^{\alpha_{\min}} \alpha_{\min}}$, (15) thus yields that

$$\operatorname{Leb}\left\{ X_n \geqslant \left(\frac{Q' \sqrt{\ln n}}{n} \right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}} \right\} \leqslant \frac{M}{n^5}. \tag{19}$$

Consequently, $U_n := \left\{ \omega \mid \exists \sqrt{n} \leqslant k \leqslant n \text{ with } X_k(F^{n-k}\omega) \geqslant \left(\frac{Q'\sqrt{\ln k}}{k} \right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}} \right\}$ has a measure at most $\sum_{\sqrt{n}}^{n} \frac{M}{k^5} \leqslant \frac{M'}{n^2}$ (since Leb is invariant under F^{n-k}). Finally, Borel-Cantelli ensures that there is a full measure subset of S^1 on which $\omega \notin U_n$ for large enough n.

Set

$$A'_n(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{2(Q'\sqrt{\ln k})^{1/\alpha_{\min}}}{k^{1/\alpha_{\min}}} \right)^{\alpha(F^{n-k}\omega) - \alpha_{\min}} + (P+1)\sqrt{n} \right].$$

As for A_n, we show that $A'_n \to C_1$ in every L^p and almost everywhere.

Let ω be such that $\omega \notin U_n$ for large enough n, and $A'_n(\omega) \to C_1$ (these properties are true almost everywhere). Then, for large enough n, Equation (10) and the fact that $X_k(F^{n-k}\omega) \leqslant \left(\frac{Q'\sqrt{\ln k}}{k} \right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}}$ for $\sqrt{n} \leqslant k \leqslant n$, yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^{\alpha_{\min}} \alpha_{\min} X_n(\omega)^{\alpha_{\min}}} &\leqslant \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{n}} 1 + \sum_{k=\sqrt{n}}^{n} \left(\frac{2(Q'\sqrt{\ln k})^{1/\alpha_{\min}}}{k^{1/\alpha_{\min}}} \right)^{\alpha(F^{n-k}\omega) - \alpha_{\min}} + P\sqrt{n} \right] \\ &\leqslant \frac{n}{\sqrt{\ln n}} A'_n(\omega) \sim \frac{n}{\sqrt{\ln n}} C_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\underline{\lim} \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\ln n}} \right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}} X_n(\omega) \geqslant C_2. \tag{20}$$

Equations (18) and (20) prove that $\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\ln n}}\right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}} X_n$ tends almost everywhere to C_2. We get the convergence in L^1 from the inequality (17) and the following elementary lemma. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Let f_n be nonnegative functions on a probability space, with $f_n \to f$ almost everywhere, and $\overline{\lim} E(f_n) \leqslant E(f) < \infty$. Then $f_n \to f$ in L^1.*

5 Limit theorems

Set

$$A = \frac{1}{4\left({\alpha_{\min}}^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha''(x_0)}}\right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}}} \int_{S^1\times\{1/2\}} h \,\mathrm{dLeb}, \tag{21}$$

where h is the density of m with respect to Leb.

In this section, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. *Let f be a Hölder function on $S^1 \times [0,1]$, with $\int f \,\mathrm{d}m = 0$. Write $c = \int_{S^1\times\{0\}} f \,\mathrm{dLeb}$. Then*

- *If $\alpha_{min} < 1/2$, there exists $\sigma^2 \geqslant 0$ such that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_n f \to \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$.*
- *If $\alpha_{min} = 1/2$ and $c \neq 0$, then $\frac{S_n f}{\sqrt{\frac{c^2 A}{4} n (\ln n)^2}} \to \mathcal{N}(0,1)$.*
- *If $1/2 < \alpha_{min} < 1$ and $c \neq 0$, then $\frac{S_n f}{n^{\alpha_{min}} \sqrt{\alpha_{min} \ln n}} \to Z$, where the random variable Z has a characteristic function given by*

  $$E(e^{itZ}) = e^{-A|c|^{1/\alpha_{min}} \Gamma(1-1/\alpha_{min}) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2\alpha_{min}}\right) |t|^{1/\alpha_{min}} \left(1 - i \operatorname{sgn}(ct) \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2\alpha_{min}}\right)\right)}$$

- *If $1/2 \leqslant \alpha_{min} < 1$ and $c = 0$, assume also that there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $|f(\omega,x) - f(\omega,0)| \leqslant Cx^\gamma$, with $\gamma > \alpha_{max}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_{min}}\right)$. Then there exists $\sigma^2 \geqslant 0$ such that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_n f \to \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$.*

The random variable Z in the third case has a stable distribution of exponent $1/\alpha_{\min}$ and parameters $A|c|^{1/\alpha_{\min}}\Gamma(1-1/\alpha_{\min})\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2\alpha_{\min}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(c)$.

To prove this theorem, we will use Theorem 3.1. For this, we need a control of $m(\varphi_Y > n)$ which comes from the asymptotic behavior of X_n proved in Theorem 4.1. It will also be necessary to estimate $m(f_Y > x)$, through the study of the integrability of f_Y (Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4).

In the rest of this section, f will be a Hölder function on $S^1 \times [0,1]$, fixed once and for all. Recall that $f_Y(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\varphi_Y(y)-1} f(T^k y)$, where φ_Y is the first return time to $Y = S^1 \times (1/2, 1]$.

5.1 Estimates on measures

Lemma 5.2. *We have*

$$m(\varphi_Y > n) \sim \left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\right)^{1/\alpha_{min}} A$$

where A is given by (21).

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
m(\varphi_Y > n) &= \int_{S^1}\int_{1/2}^{Y_{n+1}(\omega)} h(\omega,u)\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}\omega = \int_{S^1}\int_0^{X_n(F\omega)/2} h(\omega,1/2+u)\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}\omega\\
&= \int_{S^1}\frac{X_n(F\omega)}{2} h(\omega,1/2)\,\mathrm{d}\omega + \int_{S^1}\int_0^{X_n(F\omega)/2}\big[h(\omega,1/2+u)-h(\omega,1/2)\big]\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}\omega\\
&= I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\ln n}}\right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}} X_n(F\omega) \to \frac{1}{\left(2^{\alpha_{\min}}\alpha_{\min}{}^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha''(x_0)}}\right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}}}$ in L^1 and almost everywhere (Theorem 4.1) and $h(\omega,1/2)$ is bounded, we get that $I \sim \left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}} A$. Moreover, for large enough n, $|h(\omega,1/2+u)-h(\omega,1/2)| \leqslant \varepsilon$, whence $II = o\left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}}$. $\square$

Lemma 5.3. *If $\alpha_{min} < 1/2$, then $f_Y \in L^2(Y, \mathrm{d}m)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int f_Y^2\,\mathrm{d}m &\leqslant C\sum_n m(\varphi_Y = n)n^2 = C\sum\big(m(\varphi_Y > n-1) - m(\varphi_Y > n)\big)n^2\\
&\leqslant C\sum m(\varphi_Y > n)n
\end{aligned}$$

which is summable since $m(\varphi_Y > n) \sim A\left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}}$ with $1/\alpha_{\min} > 2$. $\square$

Lemma 5.4. *Assume that $\int_{S^1\times\{0\}} f = 0$. Let $\alpha_{max} > \gamma > 0$ be such that $|f(\omega,x) - f(\omega,0)| \leqslant Cx^\gamma$. If $1 < p < \min\left(\frac{2}{\alpha_{min}}, \frac{1}{\alpha_{min}(1-\gamma/\alpha_{max})}\right)$, then $f_Y \in L^p(Y,\mathrm{d}m)$.*

Proof. As h is bounded on Y, it is sufficient to prove that $f_Y \in L^p(Y, \mathrm{dLeb})$.

Assume first that $f \equiv 0$ on $S^1 \times \{0\}$. Then, if $\mathbf{x} = (\omega, x)$ satisfies $\varphi_Y(\mathbf{x}) = n$, we have $f_Y(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_0^{n-1} f(T^k\mathbf{x})$. If $k \geqslant 1$, $T^k_\omega(x) \leqslant X_{n-k}(F^k\omega) \leqslant \frac{C}{(n-k)^{1/\alpha_{\max}}}$, whence $|f(T^k\mathbf{x})| \leqslant \frac{C}{(n-k)^{\gamma/\alpha_{\max}}}$, and a summation yields that $|f_Y(\mathbf{x})| \leqslant Cn^{1-\gamma/\alpha_{\max}}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |f_Y|^p &\leqslant C\sum m(\varphi_Y = n)n^{p(1-\gamma/\alpha_{\max})}\\
&\leqslant C\sum m(\varphi_Y > n)n^{p(1-\gamma/\alpha_{\max})-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

As $m(\varphi_Y > n) \sim A\left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}}$, this last series is summable as soon as

$$-\frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} + p\left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha_{\max}}\right) - 1 < -1,$$

which is the case by assumption on p.

Assume now that f has a vanishing integral on S^1. Let $g(\omega, x) = f(\omega, 0)$. The function $f - g$ vanishes on $S^1 \times \{0\}$, whence $f_Y - g_Y \in L^p$ according to the first part of this proof. Consequently, it is sufficient to prove that $g_Y \in L^p$. Write $\chi(\omega) = f(\omega, 0)$ and $S_n\chi(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi(F^k\omega)$: then $g_Y(\omega, x) = S_{\varphi_Y(\omega,x)}\chi(\omega)$.

Let $M_n\chi(\omega) = \max_{k\leqslant n} |S_k\chi(\omega)|$. Let $\delta > 0$, and $l = \frac{1+\delta}{\delta}$, so that $\frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{1+\delta} = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int |g_Y|^p &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{S^1} \int_{1/2+X_n(F\omega)/2}^{1/2+X_{n-1}(F\omega)/2} \left|S_n\chi(\omega)\right|^p \mathrm{d}u\, \mathrm{d}\omega \\ &\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{S^1} \int_{1/2+X_{2^k}(F\omega)/2}^{1/2+X_{2^{k-1}}(F\omega)/2} |M_{2^k}\chi(\omega)|^p \,\mathrm{d}u\, \mathrm{d}\omega \\ &\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{S^1} X_{2^{k-1}}(F\omega)|M_{2^k}\chi(\omega)|^p \,\mathrm{d}\omega \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|X_{2^{k-1}} \circ F\|_{1+\delta} \|M_{2^k}\chi\|_{lp}^p,\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is Hölder inequality. If δ is small enough, $lp > 2$, whence Corollary B.4 yields that $\|M_{2^k}\chi\|_{lp} \leqslant Ck^{\frac{lp-1}{lp}}\sqrt{2^k}$. Moreover,

$$\|X_{2^{k-1}} \circ F\|_{1+\delta} = \|X_{2^{k-1}}\|_{1+\delta} \leqslant \left(\int X_{2^{k-1}}\right)^{1/(1+\delta)} \sim C\left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln(2^{k-1})}}{2^{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{(1+\delta)\,\alpha_{\min}}}$$

by Theorem 4.1. Thus, $\int |g_Y|^p < \infty$ if $\frac{1}{(1+\delta)\,\alpha_{\min}} > \frac{p}{2}$, and it is possible to choose δ such that this inequality is true, since $\frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} > \frac{p}{2}$ by hypothesis. □

5.2 Proof of Theorem 5.1

To apply Theorem 3.1, we first check the condition (5). Let θ be the Hölder exponent of f. We will work with the distance $d_{\lambda^{-\theta}} = \lambda^{-\theta s(x,y)}$. For this distance, T_Y is a Gibbs-Markov map.

Fact: if f is θ-Hölder on $S^1 \times [0, 1]$, then

$$\sum m[A_{s,n}]Df_Y(A_{s,n}) < \infty.$$

Recall that $Df_Y(A_{s,n})$ (defined in Theorem 3.1) is the best Lipschitz constant of f_Y on $A_{s,n}$, here for the distance $d_{\lambda^{-\theta}}$.

Proof. Take (ω_1, x_1) and $(\omega_2, x_2) \in A_{s,n}$ with for example $x_2 \geqslant x_1$. This implies that $x_1 \in J_n^+(\omega_2)$ and that, for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $d(T^k(\omega_1, x_1), T^k(\omega_2, x_2)) \leqslant (1+D)|F^k\omega_1 - F^k\omega_2|$ (see the beginning of the proof of Proposition 2.9). Moreover, $d(T^k(\omega_2, x_1), T^k(\omega_2, x_2)) \leqslant d(T^n(\omega_2, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2))$ (since, if ω is fixed, the map $T_{\alpha(\omega)}$ is expanding).

Thus, for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^k(\omega_1, x_1), T^k(\omega_2, x_2)) &\leqslant d(T^k(\omega_1, x_1), T^k(\omega_2, x_1)) + d(T^k(\omega_2, x_1), T^k(\omega_2, x_2)) \\ &\leqslant (1+D)|F^k\omega_1 - F^k\omega_2| + d(T^n(\omega_2, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2)) \\ &\leqslant (1+D)|F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2| + d(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_1)) \\ &\quad + d(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2)) \\ &\leqslant (1+D)|F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2| + (1+D)|F^n\omega_1 - F^n\omega_2| \\ &\quad + d(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2)) \\ &\leqslant (3+2D) d(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2)). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_Y(\omega_1, x_1) - f_Y(\omega_2, x_2)| &\leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f(T^k(\omega_1, x_1)) - f(T^k(\omega_2, x_2))| \\ &\leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C d(T^k(\omega_1, x_1), T^k(\omega_2, x_2))^\theta \\ &\leqslant C' n d(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2))^\theta. \end{aligned}$$

As T_Y is expanding for the distance d' (defined in (3), and equivalent to d), we get

$$d(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2)) \leqslant C d_{\lambda^{-1}}(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2)) = C\lambda d_{\lambda^{-1}}((\omega_1, x_1), (\omega_2, x_2)),$$

whence $d(T^n(\omega_1, x_1), T^n(\omega_2, x_2))^\theta \leqslant C d_{\lambda^{-\theta}}((\omega_1, x_1), (\omega_2, x_2))$.

Thus, $Df_Y(A_{s,n}) \leqslant Cn$, and

$$\sum m(A_{s,n}) Df_Y(A_{s,n}) \leqslant C \sum m(\varphi_Y = n) n = C < +\infty,$$

by Kac's Formula. ◊

Proof of Theorem 5.1. In the case $\alpha_{\min} < 1/2$, Lemma 5.3 gives that $f_Y \in L^2$. Moreover, $|f|_Y \in L^2$ for the same reason, and $\varphi \in L^2$ (since $\varphi = g_Y$ for $g \equiv 1$, whence Lemma 5.3 applies also). We have already checked the condition (5), so we can apply (the first case of) Theorem 3.1. This yields the central limit theorem for f.

The second and third cases are analogous. Let us prove for example the third one, i.e. $1/2 < \alpha_{\min} < 1$ and $c \neq 0$. Assume for example $c > 0$. We estimate $m(f_Y > x)$.

Fact: $m(f_Y > x) \sim \left(\frac{c\sqrt{\ln x}}{x}\right)^{1/\alpha_{min}} A$ *and* $m(f_Y < -x) = o\left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln x}}{x}\right)^{1/\alpha_{min}}$.

Proof. We prove the estimate on $m(f_Y > x)$, the other one being similar.

Let $g \equiv c$ on $S^1 \times [0,1]$. Then $g_Y = nc$ on $[\varphi_Y = n]$, which implies that $m(g_Y > nc) = m(\varphi_Y > n) \sim \left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}} A$ by Lemma 5.2.

In the general case, consider $j = f - g$, and let us prove that $m(|j_Y| > x) = o\left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln x}}{x}\right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}}$. As $f_Y = g_Y + j_Y$, it will give

$$m(g_Y > x(1+\varepsilon)) - m(|j_Y| > x\varepsilon) \leqslant m(f_Y > x) \leqslant m(g_Y > x(1-\varepsilon)) + m(|j_Y| > x\varepsilon),$$

which gives the conclusion.

Let $\gamma > 0$ with $\gamma < \min(\theta, \alpha_{\max})$ (where θ is the Hölder coefficient of f). Lemma 5.4 gives that $j_Y \in L^p$ if $p < \min\left(\frac{2}{\alpha_{\min}}, \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}(1-\gamma/\alpha_{\max})}\right)$. We can in particular choose $p > 1/\alpha_{\min}$. Then $m(|j_Y| > x) \leqslant \int \left(\frac{|j_Y|}{x}\right)^p = O(x^{-p})$, which concludes the proof of the fact. $\diamondsuit$

The same fact holds for φ_Y and $|f|_Y$, with the same proof, whence we are in the third case of Theorem 3.1. This gives the desired result.

Assume finally that $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \alpha_{\min} < 1$ and that $c = 0$. Under the hypotheses of the theorem, we can apply Lemma 5.4 with $p = 2$, and get that $f_Y \in L^2$. The proof of this lemma shows in fact that the function M (defined in Theorem 3.1) is also in L^2. Finally, Lemma 5.2 shows that $m[\varphi_Y > x] \sim \left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln x}}{x}\right)^{1/\alpha_{\min}} A$. We have checked all the hypotheses of the first case of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

A Induced maps and limit theorems

The aim of this section is to prove very general results stating that, if a function satisfies a limit theorem for an induced map, it also satisfies one for the initial map. Similar theorems have been proved in [Gou02], by spectral methods. We will describe here a more elementary method, essentially due to Melbourne and Török for flows ([MT02]).

If Y is a subset of a probability space (X, m), $T : X \to X$, and T_Y is the induced map on Y, we will write $S_n^Y g = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g \circ T_Y^k$: this is the Birkhoff sum of g, for the transformation T_Y. We will also write $E_Y(g) = \frac{\int_Y g}{m[Y]}$. Finally, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor t \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of t.

Theorem A.1. *Let $T : X \to X$ be an ergodic endomorphism of a probability space (X, m), and $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ an integrable function with vanishing integral. Let $Y \subset X$ have positive measure. For $y \in Y$, write $\varphi(y) = \inf\{n > 0 \mid T^n(y) \in Y\}$ and $f_Y(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\varphi(y)-1} f(T^k y)$, and $M(y) = \max_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant \varphi(y)} \left|\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f(T^j y)\right|$.*

We assume the following properties:

1. *There exists a sequence* $B_n \to +\infty$, *with* $\sup_{r\leqslant 2n} \frac{B_r}{B_n} < \infty$ *and* $\inf_{r\geqslant n} \frac{B_r}{B_n} > 0$, *such that* (f_Y, φ) *satisfies a mixing limit theorem for the normalization* B_n*: there exists a random variable* Z *such that, for every* $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$E_Y\left(\varphi e^{it\frac{S^Y_{\lfloor nm(Y)\rfloor} f_Y}{B_n}}\right) \to E_Y(\varphi)E\left(e^{itZ}\right). \tag{22}$$

2. *For every* $\varepsilon > 0$, *there exists* C *such that, for any* $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$m\{y \in Y \mid M(y) \geqslant \varepsilon B_n\} \leqslant \frac{C}{n}. \tag{23}$$

3. *There exists* $b > 0$ *such that, in the natural extension of* T_Y, $\frac{1}{N^b}\sum_0^{N-1} f_Y(T_Y^k)$ *tends almost everywhere to* 0 *when* $N \to \pm\infty$.

4. *For every* $\varepsilon > 0$, *there exists* $A > 0$ *and* N_0 *such that, for every* $n \geqslant N_0$,

$$m\left\{y \in Y \mid \left|\frac{S^Y_n\varphi - nE_Y(\varphi)}{B_n^{1/b}}\right| \geqslant A\right\} \leqslant \varepsilon. \tag{24}$$

Then the function f *satisfies also a limit theorem:*

$$E\left(e^{it\frac{S_n f}{B_n}}\right) \to E(e^{itZ}),$$

i.e. $\frac{S_n f}{B_n}$ *tends in distribution to* Z.

The hypotheses of the theorem are tailor-made so that the following proof works, but they are in fact often satisfied in natural cases. Let us comment on these 4 hypotheses:

1. The convergence (22) is very often satisfied when f_Y satisfies a limit theorem. Namely, the martingale proofs or spectral proofs of limit theorems automatically give this kind of convergence.

2. If $Z_0, Z_1, \dots$ are independent identically random variables such that $\frac{\sum_0^{n-1} Z_k}{B_n}$ converges in distribution to a nontrivial limit, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists C such that $P(|Z_0| \geqslant \varepsilon B_n) \leqslant \frac{C}{n}$: this is a consequence of the classification of the stable laws, see [Fel66].

   Here, we are not in the independent setting, and there is no such classification. However, the same kind of results holds very often: usually, it is not hard to check in practical cases that $m(|f_Y(x)| \geqslant \varepsilon B_n) \leqslant \frac{C}{n}$, since f_Y satisfies a limit theorem by the first assumption. Set $|f|_Y(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(y)-1} |f(T^j y)|$. As $|f|_Y$ and f_Y have more or less the same distribution, $|f|_Y$ satisfies also often

$$m\{y \in Y \mid |f|_Y(y) \geqslant \varepsilon B_n\} \leqslant \frac{C}{n}. \tag{23'}$$

Since $M \leqslant f_Y$, (23') implies (23). Thus, it will often be sufficient to check (23'). However, (23) is sometimes strictly weaker than (23'), because of cancellations, which is why we have stated the theorem with (23).

3. The natural extension is useful so that we can let N tend to $-\infty$, and consider T_Y^{-1} in the proof. Generally, Birkhoff's Theorem yields that this assumption is satisfied for $b = 1$. This is often sufficient. However, sometimes, it is important to have better estimates. It is then possible to use [Kac96, Theorem 16], for example: this theorem ensures that, if the correlations of $f_Y \in L^2$ decay at least as $O(1/n)$, then the hypothesis is satisfied for any $b > 1/2$ (for $N \to -\infty$, use the fact that $\int f_Y \cdot f_Y \circ T_Y^n = \int f_Y \circ T_Y^{-n} \cdot f_Y$, and apply the result to T_Y^{-1}).

4. The fourth assumption is weaker than

$$\exists B'_n = O(B_n^{1/b}) \text{ such that } \frac{S_n^Y \varphi - nE_Y(\varphi)}{B'_n} \text{ converges in distribution.} \tag{24'}$$

Moreover, φ is often simpler than f_Y. Since f_Y satisfies a limit theorem (this is more or less the first hypothesis), this is also often the case of φ, which implies (24'). Thus, (24') – and hence (24) – are satisfied quite generally.

Proof of Theorem A.1. Without loss of generality, we can work in a tower, i.e. assume that $X = \{(y,i) \mid y \in Y, i \in \{0, \dots, \varphi(y)-1\}\}$ and that, for $i < \varphi(y) - 1$, $T(y,i) = (y, i+1)$, while $T(y, \varphi(y)-1) = (T_Y(y), 0)$. Namely, it is possible to build an extension of X satisfying these properties, and it is equivalent to prove a limit theorem in X or in this extension (see for example [Gou02, Section 4.1]). Note that $E_Y(\varphi) = 1/m(Y)$ by Kac's Formula. Let π be the projection from X to Y, given by $\pi(y,i) = y$.

In this proof, we will write $S_t f(x)$, even when t is not an integer, for $S_{\lfloor t \rfloor} f(x)$. In the same way, T^t should be understood as $T^{\lfloor t \rfloor}$. We also extend B_n to $\mathbb{R}_+$, setting $B_t := B_{\lfloor t \rfloor}$.

As T is ergodic, T_Y is also ergodic ([Aar97, Proposition 1.5.2]). Birkhoff's Theorem gives that

$$S_n^Y \varphi = \frac{n}{m(Y)} + o(n) \tag{25}$$

almost everywhere on Y. For $y \in Y$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $n(y,N)$ be the greatest integer n such that $S_n^Y \varphi(y) < N$. If y is such that $S_n^Y \varphi(y) = \frac{n}{m(Y)} + o(n)$ (which is true almost everywhere), then $n(y,N)$ is finite for every N, and $\frac{n(y,N)}{m(Y)} \sim N$, i.e.

$$\frac{n(y,N)}{Nm(Y)} \to 1. \tag{26}$$

Since $\int_X e^{it(S_N^Y f_Y)\circ\pi} = \int_Y \varphi e^{itS_N^Y f_Y}$, (22) yields that

$$\frac{(S_{Nm(Y)}^Y f_Y) \circ \pi}{B_N} \to Z \tag{27}$$

in distribution on X. The idea of the proof will be to see that $(S^Y_{Nm(Y)} f_Y) \circ \pi$ and $S_N f$ are close (this is not surprising, since one iteration of T_Y corresponds roughly to $1/m(Y)$ iterations of T). This will give that $\frac{S_N f}{B_N}$ tends to Z.

We write

$$\begin{aligned} S_N f(y,i) = (S_N f(y,i) - S_N f(y,0)) + \big(S_N f(y,0) - S^Y_{n(y,N)} f_Y(y)\big) \\ + \big(S^Y_{n(y,N)} f_Y(y) - S^Y_{Nm(Y)} f_Y(y)\big) + S^Y_{Nm(Y)} f_Y(y). \end{aligned}$$

The last term, equal to $\big(S^Y_{Nm(Y)} f_Y\big) \circ \pi$, satisfies a limit theorem by (27). To conclude the proof, we will see that the three other terms, divided by B_N, tend to 0 in probability.

The second and third terms depend only on y. Thus, the following lemma will be useful to prove that they tend to 0 on X:

Lemma A.2. *Let f_n be a sequence of functions on Y, tending to 0 in probability on Y. Then $f_n \circ \pi$ tends to 0 in probability on X.*

Proof. Take $\varepsilon > 0$. As $f_n \to 0$ in probability, the measure of $E_n := \{y \in Y \mid |f_n(y)| \geqslant \varepsilon\}$ tends to 0. As $\varphi \in L^1$, dominated convergence yields that $\int_{E_n} \varphi \to 0$, i.e. the measure of $\pi^{-1}(E_n)$ tends to 0. But $\pi^{-1}(E_n)$ is exactly the set where $|f_n \circ \pi| \geqslant \varepsilon$. □

Fact: $\frac{1}{B_N}(S_N f(y,i) - S_N f(y,0))$ *tends to* 0 *in probability on* X.

Proof. Set $V_N(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(y)-1} |f \circ T^N(y,i)|$ on Y. Then $\|V_N\|_{L^1(Y)} = \left\|f \circ T^N\right\|_{L^1(X)} = \|f\|_{L^1(X)}$ since T preserves the measure. Thus, V_N/B_N tends to 0 in $L^1(Y)$, and in probability. Lemma A.2 yields that $\frac{1}{B_N} V_N \circ \pi$ tends to 0 in probability on X.

As $S_N f(y,i) - S_N f(y,0) = \sum_N^{N+i-1} f(T^k(y,0)) - \sum_0^{i-1} f(T^k(y,0))$, we get $|S_N f(y,i) - S_N f(y,0)| \leqslant V_N(y) + V_0(y)$. Thus, $\frac{1}{B_N}(S_N f(y,i) - S_N f(y,0))$ is bounded by a function going to 0 in probability. ◊

Fact: $\frac{1}{B_N}\left(S_N f(y,0) - S^Y_{n(y,N)} f_Y(y)\right)$ *tends to* 0 *in probability on* X.

Proof. By Lemma A.2, it is sufficient to prove it on Y. We have

$$\left|S_N f(y,0) - S^Y_{n(y,N)} f_Y(y)\right| = \left| \sum_{S^Y_{n(y,N)}\varphi(y)}^{N-1} f \circ T^k(y,0) \right| \leqslant M\left(T_Y^{n(y,N)} y\right).$$

Let $a > 0$ be very small, we show that $m\left\{y \mid M\left(T_Y^{n(y,N)} y\right) \geqslant a B_N\right\} \to 0$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let C be such that $m(M(y) \geqslant a B_n) \leqslant \frac{C}{n}$, by (23). Set $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2Cm(Y)}$. By (26), for large enough N,

$$m\left\{\left|\frac{n(y,N)}{m(Y)N} - 1\right| \geqslant \delta\right\} \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

When $\left|\frac{n(y,N)}{m(Y)N}-1\right| \leqslant \delta$, the fact that $M\left(T_Y^{n(y,N)}y\right) \geqslant aB_N$ implies that there exists $n \in [(1-\delta)m(Y)N, (1+\delta)m(Y)N]$ such that $M(T_Y^n y) \geqslant aB_N$. Thus,

$$m\left\{y \mid M\left(T_Y^{n(y,N)}y\right) \geqslant aB_N\right\} \leqslant \varepsilon + \sum_{n=(1-\delta)m(Y)N}^{(1+\delta)m(Y)N} m\{M(T_Y^n y) \geqslant aB_N\}.$$

As m is invariant by T_Y, we have $m\{M(T_Y^n y) \geqslant aB_N\} = m\{M \geqslant aB_N\} \leqslant \frac{C}{N}$. Thus,

$$m\left\{y \mid M\left(T_Y^{n(y,N)}y\right) \geqslant aB_N\right\} \leqslant \varepsilon + 2\delta m(Y)N\frac{C}{N} = 2\varepsilon.$$

◊

Fact: $\frac{1}{B_N}\left(S^Y_{n(y,N)}f_Y - S^Y_{Nm(Y)}f_Y\right)$ *tends to* 0 *in probability on* X *when* $N \to \infty$.

Proof. By Lemma A.2, it is sufficient to prove it on Y. Without loss of generality, we can use the natural extension and assume that T_Y is invertible.
For $n < 0$, write $S_n^Y f_Y = \sum_0^{|n|-1} f_Y \circ T_Y^{-j}$. Then, setting $\nu(y,N) = n(y,N) - Nm(Y)$,

$$S^Y_{n(y,N)}f_Y(y) - S^Y_{Nm(Y)}f_Y(y) = S^Y_{\nu(y,N)}f_Y\left(T^{Nm(Y)}(y)\right). \tag{28}$$

If $A > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, as $E_Y(\varphi) = 1/m(Y)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\{y \mid \nu(y,N) \geqslant AB_N^{1/b}\} &= \{n(y,N) \geqslant AB_N^{1/b} + Nm(Y)\} = \{S^Y_{AB_N^{1/b}+Nm(Y)}\varphi < N\} \\ &= \left\{\frac{S^Y_{AB_N^{1/b}+Nm(Y)}\varphi - (AB_N^{1/b}+Nm(Y))E_Y(\varphi)}{\left(B_{AB_N^{1/b}+Nm(Y)}\right)^{1/b}} < -\frac{A}{m(Y)}\left(\frac{B_N}{B_{AB_N^{1/b}+Nm(Y)}}\right)^{1/b}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

For some integer k, we have $N \leqslant 2^k Nm(Y) \leqslant 2^k(AB^{1/b}_{Nm(Y)} + Nm(Y))$. The assumption $\sup_{r \leqslant 2n}\frac{B_r}{B_n} \leqslant C < \infty$ thus yields that $\frac{B_N}{B_{AB^{1/b}_{Nm(Y)}+Nm(Y)}} \leqslant C^k$. In particular,

$$\{y \mid \nu(y,N) \geqslant AB_N^{1/b}\} \subset \left\{\frac{S^Y_{AB_N^{1/b}+Nm(Y)}\varphi - (AB_N^{1/b}+Nm(Y))E_Y(\varphi)}{\left(B_{AB_N^{1/b}+Nm(Y)}\right)^{1/b}} < -\frac{AC^{k/b}}{m(Y)}\right\}.$$

Consequently, if A is large enough, Assumption 4 yields that $m\{y \mid \nu(y,N) \geqslant AB_N^{1/b}\} \leqslant \varepsilon$ for large enough N. We handle in the same way the set of points where $\nu(y,N) \leqslant -AB_N^{1/b}$, using the assumption $\inf_{r \geqslant n}\frac{B_r}{B_n} > 0$. We have thus proved:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists A > 0, \exists N_0 > 0, \forall N \geqslant N_0,\ m\{y \mid |\nu(y,N)| \geqslant AB_N^{1/b}\} \leqslant \varepsilon. \tag{29}$$

Set $W_N(y) = \frac{1}{B_N} S_{\nu(y,N)} f_Y \left(T_Y^{Nm(Y)}(y) \right)$, we will show that it tends to 0 in distribution, which will conclude the proof, by (28). Take $a > 0$, we show that $m(|W_N| > a) \to 0$ when $N \to \infty$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Assumption 3 ensures that there exists $\widetilde{Y}$ with $m(\widetilde{Y}) \geqslant m(Y) - \varepsilon$ and N_1 such that $\frac{1}{|N|^b} |S_N^Y f_Y| \leqslant \varepsilon$ on $\widetilde{Y}$, for every $|N| \geqslant N_1$. Define $Y_N' = \{ y \in Y \mid |\nu(y,N)| < N_1 \}$ and $Y_N'' = \{ y \in Y \mid |\nu(y,N)| \geqslant N_1 \}$. We estimate first the contribution of Y_N'.

Set $\psi(y) = \sum_{-N_1}^{N_1 - 1} |f_Y \circ T_Y^j|$. Since ψ is measurable, there exists a constant C and a subset Z of Y with $m(Z) \geqslant m(Y) - \varepsilon$ and $\psi \leqslant C$ on Z. Then, for $y \in Y_N'$, we have $|W_N(y)| \leqslant \frac{1}{B_N} \psi \left(T_Y^{Nm(Y)} y \right)$. Set $Z_N = Y_N' \cap T_Y^{-Nm(Y)}(Z)$: it satisfies $m(Z_N) \geqslant m(Y_N') - \varepsilon$. On Z_N, we have $|W_N| \leqslant \frac{C}{B_N}$, whence, for large enough N, $|W_N| < a$ on Z_N. Thus, for large enough N,

$$m \{ y \in Y_N' \mid |W_N(y)| \geqslant a \} \leqslant m \{ y \in Z_N \mid |W_N(y)| \geqslant a \} + \varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

We estimate then the contribution of Y_N''. Set $\widetilde{Y}_N'' = Y_N'' \cap T_Y^{-Nm(Y)}(\widetilde{Y})$, satisfying $m(\widetilde{Y}_N'') \geqslant m(Y_N'') - \varepsilon$. Thus,

$$m(|W_N| \geqslant a) \leqslant m\{ y \in \widetilde{Y}_N'' \mid |W_N(y)| \geqslant a \} + 2\varepsilon.$$

On $\widetilde{Y}_N''$, $|\nu(y,N)| \geqslant N_1$, whence $\frac{1}{|\nu(y,N)|^b} \left| S_{\nu(y,N)}^Y f_Y \left(T_Y^{Nm(Y)} y \right) \right| \leqslant \varepsilon$. Thus, $|W_N(y)| \leqslant \varepsilon \frac{|\nu(y,N)|^b}{B_N} = \varepsilon \left(\frac{|\nu(y,N)|}{B_N^{1/b}} \right)^b$. Consequently,

$$m(|W_N| \geqslant a) \leqslant m \left(\frac{|\nu(y,N)|}{B_N^{1/b}} \geqslant \left(\frac{a}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/b} \right) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Thus, if ε is small enough, and N large enough, (29) yields that $m(|W_N| \geqslant a) \leqslant 3\varepsilon$. $\diamondsuit$

The three facts we have just proved imply that $\frac{S_N f(y,i)}{B_N} - \frac{S_{Nm(Y)}^Y f_Y(y)}{B_N} \to 0$ in distribution on X. As $\frac{S_{Nm(Y)}^Y f_Y(y)}{B_N} \to Z$ in distribution on X, by (27), this concludes the proof. $\square$

B Multiple decorrelations and L^p-boundedness

The following theorem has been useful in this paper:

Theorem B.1. *Let $F : \omega \to 4\omega$ on the circle S^1. Then, for every $p \in [1, \infty)$, there exists a constant K_p such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for every $f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded by 1, of zero average and 1-Lipschitz,*

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k \circ F^k \right\|_p \leqslant K_p \sqrt{n}.$$

This result has essentially been proved by Françoise Pène, in a much broader context. Her proof depends on a property of multiple decorrelations, which is implied by the spectral gap of the transfer operator:

Lemma B.2. *Let $\|f\|$ be the Lipschitz norm of the function f on the circle S^1. Then, for every $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C > 0$ and $\delta < 1$ such that, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, for every increasing sequences $(k_1, \ldots, k_m)$ and $(l_1, \ldots, l_{m'})$, for every Lipschitz functions $G_1, \ldots, G_m, H_1, \ldots, H_{m'}$,*

$$\left|\operatorname{Cov}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} G_i \circ F^{k_i}, \prod_{j=1}^{m'} H_j \circ F^{N+l_j}\right)\right| \leqslant C \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \|G_i\|\right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m'} \|H_j\|\right) \delta^{N-k_m}. \tag{30}$$

Here $\operatorname{Cov}(u, v) = \int uv - \int u \int v$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{F}$ be the transfer operator associated to F, and acting on Lipschitz functions. It is known that it admits a spectral gap and that its iterates are bounded, i.e. there exist constants $M > 0$ and $\delta < 1$ such that $\left\|\widehat{F}^n f\right\| \leqslant M \|f\|$, and $\left\|\widehat{F}^n f\right\| \leqslant M\delta^n \|f\|$ if $\int f = 0$.

We can assume that $N \geqslant k_m$ (otherwise, $\delta^{N-k_m} \geqslant 1$, and the inequality (30) becomes trivial). Then, writing $\varphi = \prod_{i=1}^{m} G_i \circ F^{k_i}$ and $\psi = \prod_{j=1}^{m'} H_j \circ F^{l_j}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\operatorname{Cov}(\varphi, \psi \circ F^N)\right| &= \left|\int \left(\varphi - \int \varphi\right) \psi \circ F^N\right| = \left|\int \widehat{F}^N \left(\varphi - \int \varphi\right) \psi\right| \\ &\leqslant \left\|\widehat{F}^N \left(\varphi - \int \varphi\right)\right\| \|\psi\|_\infty .\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{F}^N(\varphi) &= \widehat{F}^N \left(\prod G_i^{k_i}\right) = \widehat{F}^{N-k_m}(G_m \widehat{F}^{k_m-k_{m-1}}(G_{m-1}\widehat{F}^{k_{m-1}-k_{m-2}}(\ldots \widehat{F}^{k_2-k_1}(G_1))\ldots) \\ &=: \widehat{F}^{N-k_m}(\chi).\end{aligned}$$

As the iterates of $\widehat{F}$ are bounded on Lipschitz functions, we get a bound on the Lipschitz norm of χ: $\|\chi\| \leqslant M^{m-1} \prod \|G_i\|$. Moreover, $\int \chi = \int \varphi$, whence

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\widehat{F}^N \left(\varphi - \int \varphi\right)\right\| &= \left\|\widehat{F}^{N-k_m} \left(\chi - \int \chi\right)\right\| \leqslant M\delta^{N-k_m} \left\|\chi - \int \chi\right\| \\ &\leqslant M\delta^{N-k_m} M^{m-1} \prod \|G_i\| .\end{aligned}$$

□

When p is an even integer, Theorem B.1 is then a consequence of [Pèn02, Lemma 2.3.4]. The Hölder inequality gives the general case.

Remark. The same result holds for Hölder functions instead of Lipschitz functions, with the same proof.

We will also need the following result:

Theorem B.3. *Let T be a measure preserving transformation on a space X. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $p > 2$ be such that*

$$\exists C > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \left\|S_n f\right\|_p \leqslant C\sqrt{n}.$$

Write $M_n f(x) = \sup_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n} |S_k f(x)|$. Then there exists a constant K such that

$$\forall n \geqslant 2, \left\|M_n f\right\|_p \leqslant K(\ln n)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\sqrt{n}.$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $k < 2^n$, and write its binary decomposition $k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_j 2^j$, with $\varepsilon_j \in \{0,1\}$. Set $q_j = \sum_{l=j}^{n-1} \varepsilon_l 2^l$ (in particular, $q_0 = k$ and $q_n = 0$). Then $S_k f = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(S_{q_j} f - S_{q_{j+1}} f)$. Consequently, the convexity inequality $(a_0 + \ldots + a_{n-1})^p \leqslant n^{p-1}(a_0^p + \ldots + a_{n-1})^p$ gives that

$$|S_k f|^p \leqslant n^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |S_{q_j} f - S_{q_{j+1}} f|^p.$$

Note that q_{j+1} is of the form $\lambda 2^{j+1}$ with $0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 2^{n-j-1} - 1$, and q_j is equal to q_{j+1} or $q_{j+1} + 2^j$. Thus,

$$|S_k f|^p \leqslant n^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{\lambda=0}^{2^{n-j-1}-1} |S_{\lambda 2^{j+1} + 2^j} f - S_{\lambda 2^{j+1}} f|^p \right).$$

The right hand term is independent of k, and gives a bound on $|M_{2^n-1} f|^p$. Moreover,

$$\int |S_{\lambda 2^{j+1} + 2^j} f - S_{\lambda 2^{j+1}} f|^p = \int |S_{2^j} f|^p \leqslant C^p \sqrt{2^j}^p.$$

Thus, we get

$$\int |M_{2^n-1} f|^p \leqslant n^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{n-j-1} C^p 2^{pj/2} \leqslant K n^{p-1} 2^n 2^{(\frac{p}{2}-1)n} = K n^{p-1} \sqrt{2^n}^p.$$

For times of the form $2^n - 1$, this is a bound of the form $\left\|M_t\right\|_p \leqslant K(\ln t)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\sqrt{t}$. To get the same estimate for an arbitrary time t, it is sufficient to choose n with $2^{n-1} \leqslant t < 2^n$, and to note that $M_t \leqslant M_{2^n-1}$. ☐

Corollary B.4. *Let $F : \omega \to 4\omega$ on the circle S^1, let $\chi : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Hölder function with 0 average, and $p > 2$. Write $M_n \chi(x) = \sup_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n} |S_k \chi(x)|$. Then there exists a constant K such that*

$$\forall n \geqslant 2, \left\|M_n \chi\right\|_p \leqslant K(\ln n)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\sqrt{n}.$$

Proof. Theorem B.1 (or rather the remark following it, for the Hölder case) shows that $\left\|S_n \chi\right\|_p \leqslant C\sqrt{n}$. Consequently, Theorem B.3 gives the conclusion. ☐

References

[Aar97] Jon Aaronson. *An introduction to infinite ergodic theory*, volume 50 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs.* American Mathematical Society, 1997.

[AD98] Jon Aaronson and Manfred Denker. A local limit theorem for stationary processes in the domain of attraction of a normal distribution. Preprint, 1998.

[AD01] Jon Aaronson and Manfred Denker. Local limit theorems for partial sums of stationary sequences generated by Gibbs-Markov maps. *Stoch. Dyn.*, 1:193–237, 2001.

[dMvS93] Welington de Melo and Sebastian van Strien. *One-dimensional dynamics*, volume 25 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete : 3.* Springer, 1993.

[Fel66] William Feller. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, volume 2.* Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics. J. Wiley, 1966.

[GH88] Yves Guivarc'h and Jean Hardy. Théorèmes limites pour une classe de chaînes de Markov et applications aux difféomorphismes d'Anosov. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 24:73–98, 1988.

[Gou02] Sébastien Gouëzel. Central limit theorem and stable laws for intermittent maps. Preprint, 2002.

[Hu01] Huyi Hu. Statistical properties of some almost hyperbolic systems. In *Smooth ergodic theory and its applications (Seattle, WA, 1999)*, volume 69, pages 367–384. Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.

[Kac96] A. G. Kachurovskiĭ. Rates of convergence in ergodic theorems. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 51:653–703, 1996.

[LSV99] Carlangelo Liverani, Benoît Saussol, and Sandro Vaienti. A probabilistic approach to intermittency. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 19:671–685, 1999.

[MT02] Ian Melbourne and Andrew Török. Statistical limit theorems for suspension flows. Preprint, 2002.

[Pèn02] Françoise Pène. Averaging method for differential equations perturbed by dynamical systems. *ESAIM Probab. Statist.*, 6:33–88 (electronic), 2002.

[PY01] Mark Pollicott and Michiko Yuri. Statistical properties of maps with indifferent periodic points. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 217(3):503–520, 2001.

[Via97] Marcelo Viana. Multidimensional nonhyperbolic attractors. *Publ. Math. IHES*, 85:63–96, 1997.